Recently, there was quite a furore in India about the steep pricing of food items at multiplexes. There were several Public Interest Litigations filed against multiplexes and the matter is now being heard in the Supreme Court. While the law will decide on the subject, it might be worthwhile to look at the popcorn price issue through a broader lens than just how much popcorn costs and how much it is sold for in multiplexes.

As a consumer, there is no question that food items are expensive at multiplexes when compared to a regular food outlet and yet I would disagree with the accusation that at an aggregate level multiplexes are profiteering. I believe the best measure to put that accusation to bed is the Return on Equity (RoE) that multiplexes make i.e. what returns are providers of capital making on their investment. Average RoE for the two leading multiplex chains in India, PVR and Inox Leisure, for the past five years is 10.8% and 8.1% respectively. These numbers barely match the cost of capital in India and in the context of the fact that utilities like National Thermal Power Corporation and Power Grid are allowed to make 15.5% RoE in India, they don’t seem exorbitant at all in my view.

This begs the question as to why does a fairly consolidated industry (top 4 chains account for about 70% of multiplex screens in India) have to charge steep prices for Food & Beverage (F&B) items and yet not make a respectable return on equity. The answer lies in some of the other cost items.
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that multiplexes have to contend with. The largest is obviously content cost i.e. the amount that multiplexes have to pay the film distributors/producers out of the box office collections. Display 1 shows that this number at 43-45% of net box office collections has remained quite stagnant over the past few years. In other words, even a progressively consolidating multiplex industry has not been able to weigh on the content producers/distributors to extract lower costs for themselves which can be passed on to the consumer through lower ticket prices and/or lower F&B prices.

**DISPLAY 1**

**Distribution Costs (% of Net Box Office Collections)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY14</th>
<th>FY15</th>
<th>FY16</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PVR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY14</th>
<th>FY15</th>
<th>FY16</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45.6%</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inox Leisure

Source: Company data, Data as of December 2018

If we examine the film-making value chain, although numbers are not available in the public domain, I do not believe that producers of movie content are making extortionate profits. There were news reports⁴ about the retreat of the likes of Balaji and Walt Disney from the movie-making business in India due to mounting losses. So the consumer is paying through her nose for the popcorn and yet neither the multiplex nor the creator of content is making a lot of money. So where does this buck really stop? Clues to this might lie in the annually published list of highest paid movie stars in the world. Display 2 shows the lists for the last two years with Salman Khan and Akshay Kumar making it to the list in both years.

**DISPLAY 2**

**Bollywood Made, Hollywood Paid**

World’s top 10 highest paid actors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>2018 AMOUNT EARNED (USD MILLION)</th>
<th>2017 AMOUNT EARNED (USD MILLION)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>George Clooney 239.0</td>
<td>Mark Wahlberg 68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dwayne Johnson 124.0</td>
<td>Dwayne Johnson 65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Robert Downey Jr 81.0</td>
<td>Vin Diesel 54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chris Hemsworth 64.5</td>
<td>Adam Sandler 50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jackie Chan 45.5</td>
<td>Jackie Chan 49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Will Smith 42.0</td>
<td>Robert Downey Jr 48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Akshay Kumar 40.5</td>
<td>Tom Cruise 43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Adam Sandler 39.5</td>
<td>Shah Rukh Khan 38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Salman Khan 38.5</td>
<td>Salman Khan 37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chris Evans 34.0</td>
<td>Akshay Kumar 35.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Forbes 'Top Ten' list, Data as of December 2018

I find this ridiculous. The Indian movie stars cater primarily to domestic box office while the Hollywood stars cater to the global box office. Indian box office collections, including global collections of Indian movies, were less than 4%⁵ of global box office collections in 2017 or in other words, Salman and Akshay’s potential market is a tiny fraction of that of Dwayne “The Rock” Johnson and yet they earn on average 40% of what Johnson does. Put differently, if you look at the Global Fortune 500 companies, the highest ranked domestic Indian company in terms of sales stands at Number 137 (Indian Oil) and Number 111 (HDFC Bank)⁶ in terms of market cap and rightly so. HDFC Bank, for instance, catering exclusively to the Indian market, given the size differences of Indian and global economies cannot justifiably be a Fortune Top 10 company. In the context of their potential market, Indian movie stars are in my opinion clearly overpaid and content producers need to think about this urgently especially with the waning box office appeal of these A-listers.

The other thing I find perplexing is the amount of money movie producers spend on marketing the movie. In industry parlance, this is called Publicity & Advertising (P&A) cost. Again, while industry-level data is not available in the public domain, we gleaned through trade websites to look at 25 recently released movie titles where data was available. We found that on average, a whopping 30% of the total cost of a movie is spent on P&A. For what is essentially a one-
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WHY IS POPCORN SO EXPENSIVE AT THE MOVIES?

I believe that movie producers will have to aggressively cut costs, especially on what they pay talent and P&A spends. Multiplexes will have to negotiate harder to cut down the share that they pay movie producers while at the same time maximizing other sources of revenue like movie advertising and share of fees from ticket aggregators. And all this will have to feed through to lower ticket and F&B pricing. For a movie crazy nation like India, it is surprising that like-for-like tickets sold have not grown at all for PVR and Inox over the last few years. I think hefty ticket and F&B prices would bear the bulk of the blame. As alternate means of affordable and convenient entertainment make surprisingly fast inroads in India, if moviegoing has to remain relevant, entire cost structures have to deflate and ultimately lead to lower cost to consumers and higher footfalls. A lower popcorn price is the best Diwali/Eid/Christmas gift that our Khans, Kapoors, Kumars and Singhys can give their audiences.
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