2019 Market Outlook

The Four C’s for 2019: Caffeine, Credit, China & Chameleons
As we enter 2019, two specific challenges face the two largest economies in the world. First, will U.S. financial markets have a soft, bumpy or hard landing following the economic cycle peaks of growth and earnings that were reached in 2018? Second, will stimulus policies in China stabilize growth conditions in the year ahead? Resolutions for both will have significant global implications for economies and financial asset prices alike.

These challenges are represented thematically as our Four C’s for 2019: Caffeine, Credit, China and Chameleons.

**Caffeine**

Caffeine represents the fiscal boost the U.S. experienced from tax policy, which led to an earnings and growth cycle that exceeded the expectations of many forecasters and catalyzed an appreciation in the U.S. dollar. We believe this caffeine boost wears off in 2019, leaving the economy to reconcile the fallout from the growth and earnings peaks reached in 2018. Simply put, will the U.S. economy have a soft, bumpy or hard landing?

Context is important. We believe that tax reform and deregulation spurred business investment and a capital deepening that catalyzed a reversal in the falling trend in productivity. The rise in productivity may have a longer-lasting impact that may lead to higher potential growth. The initial impulse was recorded in 2018 with U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) growing at 3% versus original consensus estimates of around 2%, which proved too low. Consensus expectations is for full year growth to slow to 2.6% in 2019, however, on a 12-month rolling basis, 4Q19/4Q18 growth is expected to trough at 1.7%, a level we think is about equal to potential growth. Full year growth is expected to then be 2.2% for 2020.

What comes next is the key. If the U.S. Federal Reserve (Fed) engineers a soft landing and growth temporarily falls only to potential, then we think this will produce a positive outcome for financial assets. This is the soft landing scenario. If the slowdown in growth slows to levels slightly below potential and is perceived as transitory, lasting only a few quarters before resuming above 2% growth, we consider this a bumpy landing. However, if growth levels fall below potential for what the market perceives to be an extended period that may include a recession, this is the hard landing scenario. Of course, the Fed is likely to ease policy in the hard landing scenario and possibly even the bumpy one. Which scenario comes to pass will be very dependent on how inflation evolves. If inflation remains well-behaved, meaning rising gently (or maybe not at all!), probabilities of a soft or bumpy landing rise significantly.

**Credit**

Credit asset performance will be impacted by post peak dynamics in growth and earnings. It needs to be recognized that this asset class is especially vulnerable due to high levels of leverage in the post quantitative easing (QE) era. Although a recession is not our base case scenario in the next couple of years, risk premia has risen and will likely rise further, adjusting spreads wider to reflect the increased weighting of this risk. But, this also assumes static behavior in the corporate sector.

We should not assume solidly performing companies will do nothing if credit conditions deteriorate further. How soon, how deep and how long a slowdown might materialize are therefore questions that need to be reconciled.

The credit cycle is intricately linked to how Fed policy will influence the economic landing in the post peak growth and earnings period. Investment-grade credit is particularly sensitive to this, given the increased level of leverage in the aftermath of QE policies. A simple, somewhat oversimplified rule of thumb might be that the landing is expected to be soft if the Fed ends the cycle with policy rates at 3% or less, bumpy from 3% to 3.5% and hard if above 3.5%. Market concerns seem skewed to the worst-case scenario (Display 1).

**Display 1**

Markets Are (Over?) Anxious to Price the End of the Cycle
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Credit concerns are greater today than they were during the global financial crisis

China

China policy has significant influence on the global economic cycle. China had been tightening policy considerably in 2017 through mid-2018. In 2017, China increased short rates by over 200 basis points (bps), as measured by one-month fixings on the Shanghai Interbank Offered Rate (SHIBOR), and the yuan rose over 7%, all of which represented a significant tightening of policy. The impact on the Chinese economy was perhaps felt more deeply in 2018 due to a deterioration in U.S.-China trade relations.

In response, China has engaged in aggressive easing with stimulus policies, such as reducing the same SHIBOR by more than 200 bps in 2018 and required reserve ratio (RRR) cuts of 250 bps. Additionally, Beijing has eased lending standards for banks and is expected to expand government borrowing by as much as 3% of GDP, which amounts to approximately $400 billion in dollar terms. We are already seeing early signs of stimulus working to stabilize asset prices. Since easing policies tend to lag, we may see further stability and possibly even a rebound in 2019, which will be supportive of global asset prices especially, but not only confined to, emerging markets.

Chameleon

Chameleon refers to the need to have flexibility to be nimble and change as different investment opportunities may arise as we learn more about the post peak growth and earnings period. It is not yet clear whether the market will experience a soft, bumpy or hard landing post the peak. Asset prices are incorporating this uncertainty as we speak, and adjusting risk premia accordingly higher.

Serving as our base-case scenario, we interpret the recent volatility in the market as a mid to late cycle reset, not an end-of-cycle hard landing. For the sake of simplicity and clarity, our outlook is in favor of a soft to bumpy landing scenario. Implicit in our base case is that the Fed will be responsive to a tightening of financial conditions, namely, in the form of higher interest rates, a strengthening of the U.S. dollar, wider credit spreads and weaker equity prices. Perhaps it took the market to acknowledge that the peak in growth and earnings was behind it to respond to rising policy rates. The Fed is finally getting the tightening they were aiming for—the key for them is not to overdo it by over-tightening and creating a policy error that might lead to a hard landing. Our bet is that they will not make this mistake.

It is therefore likely that the market may also acknowledge that the peak in the U.S. Treasury 10-year yield is destined to stay below 3.50%. In this scenario, money flows away from the U.S. dollar as peak earnings have passed and growth differentials between the U.S. and the rest of the world narrow. These will be the first steps to ease financial conditions and with that occurring, money may be attracted to assets that have sold-off well beyond their fundamental valuations. However, we are likely to have to be patient, as this may not occur until mid-2019 or later.

Emerging markets stand out as an asset class that has sold off extensively, beyond fundamental valuations. High-yield and investment-grade credit also generated greater-than-expected losses, and the U.S. dollar, which gained so much in 2018, stands to reverse course in the year ahead. We expect interest rates to remain largely in a benign range-bound environment, though we expect investment opportunities to be idiosyncratic, driven by extreme misvaluations relative to economic fundamentals.

DISPLAY 2

Global PMI vs. S&P 500 Forward P/E

*Valuations have fallen further and faster than implied by fundamentals*

Forecasts/estimates are based on current market conditions, subject to change, and may not necessarily come to pass.

1 Source: Cornerstone Macro. Data as of November 5, 2018.
We believe these idiosyncratic opportunities can be best taken advantage of by active and flexible strategy mandates. Given all of the risks facing the world, some of which could easily come to pass, we do not see 2019 as producing systematic index opportunities that favor passive investment strategies. Having a diversified, flexible, chameleon-like approach, capable of adapting to the world’s zigs and zags, is, in our opinion, more likely to produce superior investment results in 2019.

**Conclusion**

Be prepared to be flexible and ready to adapt in 2019. Market uncertainty revolves around determining whether or not the end of the Fed tightening cycle will produce a soft, bumpy or hard landing; whether or not China’s stimulus policies enacted in 2018 (and likely continuing into 2019) will stabilize their economy in 2019. Additional considerations reside with Europe and BREXIT, political risks that are difficult to value. Risk premia is on the rise and consequently pushing asset prices below fundamental valuations in a non-uniform manner. The investment opportunities reside in idiosyncratic factors within each country/sector/security where misvaluations in price relative to fundamentals are greatest. Flexible, nimble and active management of strategies may be most effective in the year ahead.

**Risk Considerations**

There is no assurance that a Portfolio will achieve its investment objective. Portfolios are subject to market risk, which is the possibility that the market values of securities owned by the Portfolio will decline and may therefore be less than what you paid for them. Accordingly, you can lose money investing in this Portfolio. Please be aware that this Portfolio may be subject to certain additional risks. **Fixed income securities** are subject to the ability of an issuer to make timely principal and interest payments (credit risk), changes in interest rates (interest rate risk), the creditworthiness of the issuer and general market liquidity (market risk). In the current rising interest rate environment, bond prices may fall and may result in periods of volatility and increased portfolio redemptions. **Longer-term securities** may be more sensitive to interest rate changes. In a declining interest rate environment, the Portfolio may generate less income. **Mortgage- and asset-backed securities** are sensitive to early prepayment risk and a higher risk of default, and may be hard to value and difficult to sell (liquidity risk). They are also subject to credit, market and interest rate risks. Certain U.S. **government securities** purchased by the Strategy, such as those issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. It is possible that these issuers will not have the funds to meet their payment obligations in the future. **High-yield securities** (“junk bonds”) are lower-rated securities that may have a higher degree of credit and liquidity risk. **Public bank loans** are subject to liquidity risk and the credit risks of lower-rated securities. **Foreign securities** are subject to currency, political, economic and market risks. The risks of investing in **emerging market countries** are greater than risks associated with investments in foreign developed countries. **Sovereign debt securities** are subject to default risk. **Derivative instruments** may disproportionately increase losses and have a significant impact on performance. They also may be subject to counterparty, liquidity, valuation, correlation and market risks. **Restricted and illiquid** securities may be more difficult to sell and value than publicly traded securities (liquidity risk).

**IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES**

**Past performance is no guarantee of future results.** The returns referred to in the commentary are those of representative indices and are not meant to depict the performance of a specific investment.

The views, opinions, forecasts and estimates expressed of the author or the investment team as of the date of preparation of this material and are subject to change at any time due to market, economic or other conditions. Furthermore, the views will not be updated or otherwise revised to reflect information that subsequently becomes available or circumstances existing, or changes occurring, after the date of publication. The views expressed do not reflect the opinions of all portfolio managers at Morgan Stanley Investment Management (MSIM) or the views of the firm as a whole, and may not be reflected in all the strategies and products that the Firm offers.

Forecasts and/or estimates provided herein are subject to change and may not actually come to pass. Information regarding expected market returns and market outlooks is based on the research, analysis and opinions of the authors. These conclusions are speculative in nature and are not intended to predict the future performance of any specific Morgan Stanley Investment Management product.

Certain information herein is based on data obtained from third party sources believed to be reliable. However, we have not verified this information, and we make no representations whatsoever as to its accuracy or completeness.

This material is a general communication, which is not impartial, and all information provided has been prepared solely for informational and educational purposes and does not constitute an offer or a recommendation to buy or sell any particular security or to adopt any specific investment strategy. The information herein has not been based on a consideration of any individual investor circumstances and is not investment advice, nor should it be construed in any way as tax, accounting, legal or regulatory advice. To that end, investors should seek independent legal and financial advice, including advice as to tax consequences, before making any investment decision.

This communication is not a product of Morgan Stanley’s Research Department and should not be regarded as a research recommendation. The information contained herein has not been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research and is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research.

**DISTRIBUTION**

This communication is only intended for and will be only distributed to persons resident in jurisdictions where such distribution or availability would not be contrary to local laws or regulations.

There is no guarantee that any investment strategy will work under all market conditions, and each investor should evaluate their ability to invest for the long term, especially during periods of downturn in the market. Prior to investing, investors should carefully review the strategy’s/product’s relevant offering document. There are important differences in how the strategy is carried out in each of the investment vehicles.
