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Our Business

Morgan Stanley is a leading global financial services firm. Our subsidiaries and affiliates advise, originate, trade, manage and distribute capital for governments, corporations, institutions and individuals. We maintain significant market positions in our three business segments—Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management.

Our core values—Doing the Right Thing, Putting Clients First, Leading with Exceptional Ideas, Committing to Diversity & Inclusion, and Giving Back—guide everything we do. Through the talents and efforts of our 75,000 employees in 41 countries, we aim to deliver results for our stakeholders today while setting strategic goals for the future.
Approach to Sustainability

Morgan Stanley collaborates with clients and stakeholders to mobilize capital at scale to tackle sustainability challenges such as climate change and inequality. We are committed to delivering environmental, social and governance (ESG) innovation alongside long-term value for clients and shareholders.

Morgan Stanley was an early mover in the mainstream market, having established our dedicated sustainability focus over a decade ago.

Our strategy focuses on integrating sustainability into our core businesses and support functions through extensive collaborative partnerships between teams across our businesses. We execute innovative solutions for our clients that help address complex ESG issues and drive revenue opportunities. We also take a responsible, transparent and proactive approach to sustainability in our operations and disclosure.

Effective governance, ethical business conduct and support for our skilled workforce underpin our business success and our approach to sustainable investing and finance. Strong ESG performance helps us reduce risk and enhance value for our stakeholders. Our firm’s core values guide our sustainability strategy to deliver results today while doing our part to contribute to a sustainable future.

We also pursue three cross-cutting sustainability priorities across our business activity, thought leadership and operations: climate change, inclusive growth and plastic waste. Through initiatives within each focus area, Morgan Stanley aims to support the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This report focuses on our approach to inclusive growth, given the relevance to the Morgan Stanley Social Bond Framework.

Morgan Stanley was named Lead Manager of the Year, U.S. Municipal Sustainability Bonds and U.S. Municipal Social Bonds by Environmental Finance.
Fostering Inclusive Growth through Community Development Finance

Inclusive growth helps counter global inequalities and generates opportunities for all. It is an imperative for governments and a core focus of Morgan Stanley’s sustainability strategy, solutions and services. We define inclusive growth as economic gains that are broad-based, sustainable and provide opportunity across all participants in the economic system.

A key driver of our inclusive growth strategy is Morgan Stanley’s Community Development Finance (CDF) program. We create investment opportunities that attract both philanthropic and private capital to create lasting positive changes in communities across the United States. Our program’s track record in meeting community needs has consistently earned Morgan Stanley’s U.S. banks “Outstanding” ratings from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.

Community Development Strategy

Our CDF group provides innovative financing that seeks to improve people's quality of life in communities across the United States. Designed and implemented with community and nonprofit partners, our program executes innovative transactions not routinely performed by private investors in the following areas:

- Preservation and development of sustainable, multifamily affordable rental housing
- Healthy communities
- Equitable transit-oriented development
- Economic development that supports quality jobs
- Capital for underserved, small and rural markets
- Capacity building for nonprofits

For a summary of CDF’s most innovative and impactful transactions in 2021, see page 38 of Morgan Stanley’s 2021 Sustainability Report.
Morgan Stanley’s Social Bond

In 2020, Morgan Stanley issued a $1Bn social bond—our first—to support affordable housing across the United States. These projects will support individuals and families with low-or-moderate incomes, many of whom are struggling to meet housing costs in the pandemic-affected economy.

The bond brings to life Morgan Stanley’s Social Bond Framework (the “Framework”), which aims to contribute to Morgan Stanley’s CDF program through a focus on affordable housing. Eligible social projects are expected to directly contribute to SDG number 11 (“Sustainable Cities and Communities”), and to indirectly contribute to two additional SDGs as a result of the interlinkages between the Eligible Social Projects and a broader array of community services:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT CATEGORY (AS IN THE SBP)</th>
<th>AFFORDABLE HOUSING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUMMARY OF CORE SDGS (AND RELEVANT SDG TARGETS)</td>
<td>11.1 Ensure access for all to adequate, safe and affordable housing and basic services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMARY OF SECONDARY SDGS (INTERLINKAGES AND INDIRECT IMPACT)</td>
<td>1.5 Build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.2 Empower and promote the social, economic and political inclusion of all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, race, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Morgan Stanley’s Social Bond Overview

Issue Date: October 21, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>MORGAN STANLEY SOCIAL BOND OVERVIEW</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issue Date</strong></td>
<td>October 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Currency</strong></td>
<td>USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tenor</strong></td>
<td>5NC-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
<td>$1,000,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Proceeds</strong></td>
<td>$996,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of Proceeds</strong></td>
<td>An amount equal to the net proceeds from the issuance of the Social Bond will finance and/or refinance affordable housing projects. Projects aim to provide housing at affordable rates to low- or moderate-income individuals and/or families. “Eligible Social Projects” are defined in Morgan Stanley’s Social Bond Framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed Coupon Rate</strong></td>
<td>0.864%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Evaluation and Selection</strong></td>
<td>Morgan Stanley’s specialist teams, including Community Development Finance and Global Sustainable Finance, are responsible for the process of evaluation and selection of Eligible Social Projects. The Social Bond Supervisory Committee has overall responsibility for supervision of the Eligible Social Projects register.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management of Proceeds</strong></td>
<td>On a semi-annual basis, the Supervisory Committee evaluates the Eligible Social Portfolio to ensure that the aggregate amount is equal to or greater than the net proceeds from the sale of the Social Bond. If the aggregate is less than total net proceeds, Morgan Stanley will hold the balance of the unallocated amount in cash, cash equivalents, U.S. or other government securities, U.S. agency securities or U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities in a segregated account established for tracking purposes until the amount can be allocated to the Eligible Social Portfolio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reporting</strong></td>
<td>On an annual basis and on a timely basis in the case of material developments, Morgan Stanley will publish the total qualifying amount of Eligible Social Projects, the associated estimated social impacts and a management assertion regarding project allocations. This includes external review of project eligibility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 2022 Impact Highlights

## Social Bond Overview

**Bond Coverage**

- **$2.41Bn**

## Housing Projects Supported

- **475**

## Impact Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing Units</td>
<td>47,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beneficiaries</td>
<td>123,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Cost Savings</td>
<td>$150,978,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects done with Nonprofits</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects with Supportive Services</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects with Green Certification</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster Recovery Projects</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Targeted Service-Enriched Housing Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>7,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons with Disabilities</td>
<td>1,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans</td>
<td>689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons with SPMI</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victims of Domestic Abuse</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals Aging Out of Foster Care</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GEOGRAPHIC COMPOSITION BY COMMITMENT

Portfolio Distribution: 0–5%  |  5–10%  |  10–20%

Arkansas: 0.2%  
Arizona: 0.5%  
California: 17.2%  
Colorado: 2.3%  
Connecticut: 0.8%  
Florida: 12.2%  
Georgia: 2.3%  
Iowa: 0.5%  
Illinois: 3.6%  
Indiana: 1.5%  
Kentucky: 0.6%  
Louisiana: 1.0%  
Massachusetts: 1.4%  
Maryland: 1.7%  
Michigan: 1.2%  
Minnesota: 7.6%  
New Mexico: 0.6%  
New Jersey: 1.6%  
Nevada: 1.1%  
New York: 13.0%  
North Carolina: 1.5%  
Ohio: 1.1%  
Oregon: 0.9%  
Rhode Island: 0.4%  
South Carolina: 6.7%  
Tennessee: 1.0%  
Texas: 5.8%  
Utah: 2.9%  
Washington: 5.2%  
Washington, D.C.: 1.6%
In 2021 Morgan Stanley continued its focus on financing the construction and preservation of affordable housing in communities devastated by natural disasters, such as areas impacted by floods, hurricanes, tornadoes, and wildfires. For example, Morgan Stanley partnered with National Equity Fund to finance Creekside Place, a 101-unit affordable housing project located in the City of Chico, Butte County, California. This will be the first new construction project to emerge after the “Camp fire” in November 2018, one of the deadliest and most destructive wildfires in California’s history. Working with Chico-based nonprofit developer, Community Housing Improvement Program, Inc. (CHIP), the project will restore housing for low-income seniors who were displaced from their homes and is designed with many interior and exterior amenities (community center, computer lab, fitness room, outdoor pet park, community garden, etc.) to address their needs.

In addition, 15 units will be designated for seniors with serious mental health disabilities who are homeless or at risk of chronic homelessness. Residents will have access to a service coordinator who will assist them with services through referral and advocacy services, and the Butte County Department of Behavioral Health will provide supportive services to the 15 special needs units.
Morgan Stanley collaborated with National Equity Fund to provide financing targeting the preservation of existing, at-risk affordable housing projects. For example, in 2021, Morgan Stanley financed the acquisition and preservation of Marymount Summit, an affordable housing project located in Pacifica, California, approximately 12.5 miles southwest of downtown San Francisco with a population of roughly 40,000. The project consists of 212 units, with 170 existing units and 42 to-be-constructed Additional Dwelling Units (ADUs). All 212 units will be restricted to households earning 80% AMI (area median income), including the to-be-constructed ADUs. This project will ensure the long-term affordability of the housing and involves several partners, including KH Equities (KHE) and the Chan-Zuckerberg Initiative (CZI). KHE has also partnered with Open Scope Studio architects who have extensive experience with ADUs.
MORGAN STANLEY SOCIAL BOND
MANAGEMENT REPORT - PERIODIC REVIEW 2022

Log of assessments to date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley Social Bond, DNV eligibility assessment - Initial</td>
<td>October 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley Social Bond, DNV eligibility assessment – Periodic Review 2021</td>
<td>June 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley Social Bond, DNV eligibility assessment – Periodic Review 2022 (this assessment)</td>
<td>May 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Introduction

Morgan Stanley is a global financial services firm that, through its subsidiaries and affiliates, advises, and originates, trades, manages and distributes capital for, governments, institutions and individuals. The firm has significant market positions in three business segments — Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management.

Scope and Objectives

Morgan Stanley developed in October 2020 a Social Bond Framework (the "Framework") to outline how it intended to meet the requirements of the International Capital Markets Association (ICMA) Social Bond Principles (SBP) 2020\(^1\). Morgan Stanley subsequently issued a Social Bond in October 2020 (henceforth referred to as "Bond") under this Framework. DNV Business Assurance Services UK Limited (henceforth referred to as "DNV") delivered the eligibility assessments for the Social Bond, which successfully received a positive Second-Party Opinion against the SBP in October 2020. Morgan Stanley then commissioned DNV in June 2021 for a Periodic Review of the assets that had been financed from the proceeds of the Bond up to 31\(^{st}\) March 2021. Morgan Stanley has now commissioned DNV for a second Periodic Review.

Our methodology to achieve this is described under ‘Work Undertaken’ below.

The scope of work is limited to a desktop review of the total allocated projects to confirm their eligibility as per the Framework and SBP. The scope of our initial eligibility assessment in October 2020 comprised of a review of projects that had been financed up to that date. The first Periodic Review assessed all

---

\(^1\) Note, this has now been revised, DNV reviewed eligibility against the 2021 version of the SBP
assets funded under the Framework from the proceeds of the Bond up to 31st March 2021. The second Periodic Review will assess all assets funded under the Framework up to 31st March 2022.

We were not commissioned to provide independent assurance or other audit activities. No assurance is provided regarding the financial performance of the Bond, the value of any investments in the Bond, or the long-term social benefits of the transaction. Our objective has been to provide an assessment as to whether the Use of Proceeds from the Bond meet the Social Bond criteria established in the Framework as well as the SBP.

Responsibilities of the Management of Morgan Stanley and DNV

The management of Morgan Stanley has provided the information and data used by DNV during the delivery of this Periodic Review. Our statement represents an independent opinion and is intended to inform Morgan Stanley management and other interested stakeholders in the Bond as to whether the assets financed from the proceeds of the Bond aligned with the Framework and the ICMA SBP 2021. In our work we have relied on the information and the facts presented to us by Morgan Stanley. DNV is not responsible for any aspect of the projects or assets referred to in this opinion and cannot be held liable if estimates, findings, opinions, or conclusions are incorrect. Thus, DNV shall not be held liable if any of the information or data provided by the Morgan Stanley Management and used as a basis for this assessment were not correct or complete.

Basis of DNV’s opinion

We have adapted our Social Bond Eligibility Assessment methodology to create a Morgan Stanley-specific Social Bond Periodic Review Protocol (henceforth referred to as “Protocol”). Our Protocol includes a set of suitable criteria that can be used to underpin DNV’s review of the assets financed by the bond.

As per our Protocol, the criteria against which the assets have been reviewed are grouped under the four Principles:

- **Principle One: Use of Proceeds.** The Use of Proceeds criteria are guided by the requirement that proceeds of a Social Bonds must be applied to finance or refinance eligible activities. The eligible activities should produce clear social benefits.

- **Principle Two: Process for Project Evaluation and Selection.** Confirmation that the Process for Project Evaluation and Selection has remained consistent from DNV’s initial eligibility assessment in October 2020

- **Principle Three: Management of Proceeds.** Confirmation that the process for the Management of Proceeds has remained consistent from DNV’s initial eligibility assessment in October 2020

- **Principle Four: Reporting.** Confirmation that the commitments to reporting have remained consistent from DNV’s initial eligibility assessment in October 2020
Work undertaken

For this Periodic Review we focused on reviewing whether the assets funded by the Bond have met the Use of Proceeds criteria outlined in the Morgan Stanley Social Bond Framework and therefore considered eligible as per the SBP.

Morgan Stanley has provided details for all assets as to why each was chosen, which eligible category they fall under and how they meet the eligibility criteria defined in the Framework.

Subsequently, for the sample of assets that were chosen, DNV requested further information and evidence that supported these claims, verifying that partnership agreements, funds investment policies and individual projects met the criteria established in the Framework.

Our work constituted a high-level review of the available information, based on the understanding that this information was provided to us by Morgan Stanley in good faith. We have not performed an audit or other tests to check the veracity of the information provided to us. The work undertaken to form our opinion included:

- Creation of a Morgan Stanley-specific Protocol, adapted to the purpose of the review;
- Quantitative and qualitative review of project portfolio, through assessment of documentary evidence provided by Morgan Stanley on the asset financed by the Bond, in-depth spot-checks on selected assets, and discussions with Morgan Stanley management, supplemented by a high-level desktop research. These checks refer to current assessment best practices and standards methodology;
- Documentation of findings against each element of the criteria. Our opinion as detailed below is a summary of these findings.

Findings and DNV’s opinion

DNV’s findings are listed below. This Periodic Review focusses on Principle 1. The full eligibility assessment carried out in October 2020 can be found on Morgan Stanley’s website.

1. Principle One: Use of Proceeds.

Morgan Stanley uses a dynamic pool of projects, the "Eligible Social Projects", as defined in the Framework. Morgan Stanley has allocated funds to finance ongoing and/or future Eligible Social Projects since the date of issuance of the Bond, as well as refinance eligible investments to which disbursements have been made since 2018 (as per the Framework). This is achieved via investments into closed-end investment vehicles with strict investment policies that adhere to the criteria established in the Framework.

Morgan Stanley’s Eligible Social Projects being financed under the Framework fall into the following category, in line with the Social Bond Principles:

- Affordable Housing (See Schedule 1)

For this Periodic Review, DNV has used the criteria above as the basis of our assessment of conformance. We were provided with an exhaustive list of projects financed under the Framework to 31st March 2022 and carried out spot-checks to verify that the Framework criteria was met.
To support the review Morgan Stanley has provided the Eligible Social Projects Report, a register designed to track the Eligible Social Projects. Our checks were conducted at three levels: partnership agreements, funds investment policies, and individual projects. We also conducted in-depth spot-checks on selected funds and assets which are summarised in the table below.

In total, our sample review covered:
- 4 partnership agreements
- 4 funds
- 39 projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of check</th>
<th>Type of evidence reviewed</th>
<th>Number of spot-checks</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partnership agreements</td>
<td>• Copies of partnership agreements containing wording related to affordable housing eligibility criteria</td>
<td>4 partnership agreements across various types of funds</td>
<td>The evidence reviewed meets the criteria established in the Framework and is aligned with the Social Bond Principles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Fund Investment Policies | • Individual funds’ investment policies  
• K1 tax document  
• Quarterly reports and rent information  
• Underwriting guidelines and general term sheet in lieu of the investment policy statement, where necessary | Policies or equivalent documents for 4 different funds across two types of partnership agreements | The evidence reviewed meets the criteria established in the Framework and is aligned with the Social Bond Principles |
| Projects | • List of projects "Social Bond - Appendix A - 2022.03.31" showing:  
  - geographic location of affordable housing projects funded;  
  - corresponding fund name;  
  - level of income of populations reached;  
  - categories of vulnerable populations reached  
  - other social characteristics and benefits of project  
• Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) evaluations | 8 affordable housing projects across multiple funds and partnership types | The evidence reviewed meets the criteria established in the Framework and is aligned with the Social Bond Principles |
• Deeds of trust or first mortgage documentation, evidencing that the project financing was made by the fund
• Investment approval memorandums (prepared by the GP) containing an overview of the housing project, investment amount, number of affordable units, affordability characteristics, satellite imagery, transaction structure, other loan information.
• Rent composition analysis
• Pro-forma financial statements

Our review of the documents listed above, as well as interviews with Morgan Stanley management, showed conformance with the defined criteria. Clarification requests were sufficiently addressed through additional documentation.

The total monetary amounts in the project portfolio was also reviewed by DNV and we can confirm that the over-collateralisation is sufficient.

DNV also confirms that the Eligible Social Projects continue to be aligned with the UN SDGs: UN SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities), and indirectly UN SDG 1 (No Poverty), and UN SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities).

DNV concludes that the projects being financed under the Bond deliver clear social benefits and are consistent with the Framework and the requirements outlined in the ICMA SBP 2021.

2. **Principle Two: Process for Project Evaluation and Selection.**

Morgan Stanley management confirmed that no changes were made to the processes described in this section since the eligible assessment of October 2020.

3. **Principle Three: Management of Proceeds.**

Morgan Stanley management confirmed that no changes were made to the processes described in this section since the eligible assessment of October 2020.

4. **Principle Four: Reporting.**

Morgan Stanley management confirmed that no changes were made to the processes described in this section since the eligible assessment of October 2020.
On the basis of the information provided by Morgan Stanley and the work undertaken, it is DNV’s opinion that the projects financed up to 31st March 2022 meet the criteria established in the Protocol, are aligned with the Use of Proceeds stated in the Framework and are eligible as per the International Capital Markets Association Social Bond Principles 2021.

for DNV Business Assurance Services UK Limited
London, 19th May 2022

Richard Strutt
Senior Consultant and Project Manager
DNV – Business Assurance

Shaun Walden
Principal Reviewer
DNV – Business Assurance

About DNV

DNV is an independent assurance and risk management provider, operating in more than 100 countries. Through assessment and digital assurance solutions, DNV helps companies build trust and transparency around products, assets, supply chains and ecosystems.

Whether certifying products, sharing claims or optimizing and decarbonizing supply chains, DNV helps companies manage risks and realize their long-term strategic goals, improving ESG performance and generating lasting, sustainable results.

Combining sustainability, supply chain and digital expertise, DNV works to create new assurance models enabling interaction and transaction transparency across value chains. Drawing on our wide technical and industry expertise, we work with companies worldwide to bridge trust gaps among consumers, producers and suppliers.

Driven by its purpose, to safeguard life, property, and the environment, DNV helps tackle the challenges and global transformations facing its customers and the world today and is a trusted voice for many of the world’s most successful and forward-thinking companies.
### SCHEDULE 1: DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITIES FINANCED THROUGH THE BOND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligible Social Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Target populations</th>
<th>UN SDG – Core</th>
<th>UN SDG – Indirect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Projects aiming to provide housing at affordable rates to low- or moderate-income (&quot;LMI&quot;) individuals and/or families, defined as earning less than 50% and less than 80%, respectively, of the relevant area median income or &quot;AMI&quot;, in line with the definition in the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977. The objective is to have projects aiming to provide housing at affordable rates to LMI individuals and/or families.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Affordable Housing projects are expected to deliver benefits to various example categories of the ICMA SBP 2021’s Target Populations such as, but not exclusively, people living below the poverty line, people with disabilities, designated disaster areas or people who are marginalised or underserved.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 Sustainable cities and communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Reduced Inequalities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Management’s Assertion Regarding the Eligible Social Portfolio

Management asserts that as of March 31, 2022, an amount equal to the net proceeds from its issuance of 0.864% Notes on October 21, 2020 due October 21, 2025 has been allocated to Eligible Social Projects, which meet the criteria specified on the Social Bond Framework, dated October 2020.

Project Eligibility Criteria

Eligibility criteria are based on the definitions of “Community Development” under Title 12, Chapter 1, Part 25 of the Code of Federal Regulations, in compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977.

1. Projects targeting low-income individuals or families that have individual or median family income that is less than 50% of AMI;
2. Projects targeting moderate-income individuals or families that have individual or median family income that is at least 50% and less than 80% of AMI;
3. Projects targeting groups located in a census tract by the United States Bureau of the Census categorized as LMI; and
4. Activities that revitalize or stabilize:
   - Low- or moderate-income geographies;
   - Designated disaster areas; or
   - Distressed or underserved non-metropolitan middle-income geographies designated, by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, based on:
     - Rates of poverty, unemployment and population loss; or
     - Population size, density and dispersion.

Activities are considered to revitalize and stabilize geographies designated based on population size, density and dispersion if they help to meet essential community needs, including needs of LMI individuals or families.

Eligible Social Projects include projects with disbursements made by Morgan Stanley’s Entities in the year 2018 and beyond.

This material is not a solicitation of any offer to buy or sell any security or other financial instrument or to participate in any trading strategy.

The returns on a portfolio consisting primarily of Environmental, Social and Governance (“ESG”) aware investments may be lower or higher than a portfolio that is more diversified or where decisions are based solely on investment considerations. Because ESG criteria exclude some investments, investors may not be able to take advantage of the same opportunities or market trends as investors that do not use such criteria.

Please note that there is currently no legal, regulatory or similar definition of what constitutes a “social” bond or as to what precise attributes are required for a particular issuance to be defined as “social.” Without limiting any of the statements contained herein, Morgan Stanley makes no representation or warranty as to whether a bond constitutes a social bond, unless otherwise specified by Morgan Stanley, or whether a bond conforms to investor expectations or objectives for investing in social bonds. For information on characteristics of a specific social bond, use of proceeds, a description of applicable projects and/or any other relevant information about the bond, please reference the offering documents for the bond.

Losses are possible with an investment in CDFIs. A customer can lose money in their investment if a partner CDFI has net charge-off rates in excess of their loss reserves. In other words, if a partner CDFI makes a lot of bad loans, or the bulk of their investments fail to perform, then they will be faced with financial losses which they may be forced to pass on to customers.

Bonds are subject to interest rate risk. When interest rates rise, bond prices fall; generally, the longer a bond’s maturity, the more sensitive it is to this risk. Bonds may also be subject to call risk, which is the risk that the issuer will redeem the debt at its option, fully or partially, before the scheduled maturity date. The market value of debt instruments may fluctuate, and proceeds from sales prior to maturity may be more or less than the amount originally invested or the maturity value due to changes in market conditions or changes in the credit quality of the issuer.

Credit ratings for the CDFI bond offerings with Morgan Stanley are cited from Standard & Poor’s, one of the widely followed credit agencies in the fixed income markets. Credit quality is a measure of a bond issuer’s creditworthiness or ability to repay interest and principal to bondholders in a timely manner. The credit ratings shown are based on each issuer’s security rating as provided by Standard & Poor’s, as applicable. Credit ratings are issued by the rating agencies and the credit quality of the CDFI bonds do not represent its stability or safety. Credit ratings shown range from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P’s. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P are considered to be the highest rating is applied. Securities that are not rated by the agencies are listed as “NR”.

Most CDFIs are not FDIC-insured.