Introduction
This statement, written for the benefit of the members of the Morgan Stanley UK Group Top Up Pension Plan (the “Plan”), sets out how, and the extent to which, the Statement of Investment Principles (‘SIP’) produced by the Trustee has been followed over the 12 months to 31 December 2021.

The SIP is a document drafted by the Trustee in order to help govern the Plan’s investment strategy. It details a range of investment-related policies, a summary of which, for the DC Section, is included in the tables from page 11, alongside the relevant actions taken by the Trustee in connection with each of these policies.

As required by the legislation, the Trustee has consulted a suitably qualified person and has obtained written advice from its investment consultant, Mercer Limited (“Mercer”). The Trustee believes the investment consultant meets the requirement of Section 35 (5) of the Pensions Act 1995. The Trustee in preparing this Statement has also consulted the sponsoring Company.

The statement is based on, and should be read in conjunction with, the relevant version of the SIP that was in place for the Plan Year, which was the SIP dated August 2020.

The first two Sections of this statement set out the investment objectives of the Plan and changes which have been made to the SIP during the Plan Year, respectively.

The third Section of this statement sets out how, and the extent to which, the policies in the DC Section of the SIP have been followed. The Trustee can confirm that all policies in the SIP have been followed during the Plan Year.

A copy of the SIP is available at https://www.morganstanley.com/disclosures/the-morgan-stanley-uk-group-pension-plan.

Statement of Investment Principles
INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES OF THE PLAN
The Trustee believes it is important to consider the policies in place in the context of the objectives they have set. The investment objectives for the DC Section are as follows:

• To make available a range of investment vehicles which serve to adequately meet the varying investment needs and risk tolerances of Plan Members.
• To have the assets managed by investment managers believed to be of high quality, i.e. where there is a suitable level of confidence that the manager/s will achieve their performance objectives.
• To prove a means by which active management can be offered with the flexibility for the Trustee to change managers proactively.
• To monitor the underlying fund range on an ongoing basis and assess whether the Plan’s investment options are meeting their objectives.
• To achieve competitive investment management and investment advisor fees.
• To provide a Plan framework which allows the most efficient fund switching possible in order to reduce member’s out-of-market risk.

REVIEW OF THE SIP
During the year to 31 December 2020, the Trustee carried out a ‘Growth Phase Health Check’ review and a revised SIP was signed in August 2020.

During 2021, no changes were made to the Plan’s SIP – as such the SIP in place through 2021 was...
signed in August 2020. In 2021, an Investment Strategy Review and an ESG Review were undertaken. Following these reviews, the Trustee agreed changes to the fund range which were implemented through Q4 2021 and Q1 2022. The SIP will be reviewed in mid-2022 to reflect the changes in the Plan’s investment arrangements.

ASSESSMENT OF HOW THE POLICIES IN THE SIP HAVE BEEN FOLLOWED FOR THE YEAR TO 31 DECEMBER 2021

The information provided in this section highlights the work undertaken by the Trustee during the year, and longer term where relevant, and sets out how this work followed the Trustee’s policies in the SIP relating to the DC Section of the Plan.

In summary, it is the Trustee’s view that the policies in the SIP have been followed during the Plan Year.

Strategic Asset Allocation

Kinds of investments to be held, the balance between different kinds of investments and expected return on investments.

POLICY

The Diversified Default Option is the default investment option for the Plan. It is a form of lifestyle strategy. Lifestyle strategies are designed to meet the objectives of maximising the value of the member’s assets at retirement and protecting the member’s accumulated assets in the years approaching retirement.

In addition, a range of self-select funds is offered to members within a range of asset classes. It is the policy of the Trustee to offer both actively and passively managed funds depending on the asset.

HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?

The Growth phase is reviewed at least annually. At the June 2020 meeting, each aspect of the Active Diversified Growth Fund, used in the Growth phase, was reviewed. After the June and July 2020 meetings, which included review of alternative strategies, the Trustee agreed that Nordea 15 Alpha Strategies and Ruffer Total Return International should replace GMO Global Real Return and JP Morgan Life Diversified Alternative Beta in the Active Absolute Return Fund. Between August 2020 and January 2021, the Active Absolute Return Fund switched to 100% Abrdn Global Absolute Return Strategies (GARS) as an interim measure. The implementation of the Nordea and Ruffer funds took place in January 2021.

The Trustee monitors the underlying risks by quarterly investment reviews. The Trustee will formally review the Diversified Default Option at least every three years or immediately following any significant change in investment policy or the Plan’s member profile. The last review occurred on September 2021 and encompassed all aspects of the default strategy, in particular, the ongoing appropriateness of the strategy based on member analysis. The conclusions of this review were:

- The Plans are set up to broadly provide a good member outcome for the majority of members, so long as members contribute a sufficient level and the investment returns are in line with or better than the assumptions.
- Drawdown remained a suitable at-retirement target for the Plan.
- A number of alternative glidepaths were considered, but it was agreed to make no changes to the default glidepath.
- It was agreed to not make available an annuity and cash lifestyles, but to make available a self-select fund which aims to track annuity prices.

The continued suitability of the funds in the self-select fund range was assessed quarterly through performance reporting, once as part of an annual ESG review and through manager meetings (discussed later in this statement).

Expected return on investments

The investment objectives and expected returns of the individual funds are provided in the IPID. In designing the Diversified Default Option, the Trustee has explicitly considered the trade-off between risk and expected returns.

HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?

A monitoring report is reviewed by the Trustee on a quarterly basis, this includes the performance of the funds that make up the Diversified Default Option and the self-select range. The monitoring report includes how each fund has performance against its specific benchmark and target(s). Monthly performance reports are also provided to the Trustee.

Risks, including the ways in which risks are to be measured and managed

POLICY

The Trustee has considered risk from a number of perspectives in relation to the DC Section, including the Diversified Default Option. The Trustee
considered both quantitative and qualitative measures as well as how best to manage the various risks facing DC members.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**

The Trustee continues to provide a range of investments which enable members to reflect in their selection of funds the level or risk they wish to take in light of their own individual circumstances. In member facing communications, the Trustee highlights a number of risks that a member may face as a result of investing in any particular funds.

The risks below are not exhaustive, but cover the main risks considered by the Trustee to be financially material. A table is provided on Section 4.2. of the SIP outlining the risks, how they are managed and how they are measured.

- Market Risk
- Interest Rate Risk
- Inflation Risk
- Manager Risk
- Mismatch Risk
- Liquidity Risk
- Concentration Risk
- Sponsor Risk
- Exchange Rate Risk
- Environmental, Social and Governance Risk

The Trustee regularly monitors these risks and the appropriateness of the investment in light of the risks described above.

The Trustee is aware that members have differing time horizons within the Plan and as such, offers a lifestyle option that helps to manage a number of risks for members as they approach retirement.

All of the underlying managers remained generally highly rated by the Plan's Investment Consultants during the year, the exception being the Abrdn Global Absolute Return Strategies Fund which is rated B. Abrdn is currently under review following poor performance (compounded with its B rating).

Besides Abrdn, the Trustee is comfortable with the ratings applied by its Investment Consultant and continues to closely monitor the ratings and any significant developments at each of the underlying investment managers.

**Investment Mandates**

**Securing compliance with the legal requirements about choosing investments**

**POLICY**

As required by the legislation, in considering appropriate investments for the Plan, the Trustee has obtained and considered the written advice of a suitably qualified investment consultant, Mercer Limited ("Mercer"). The advice received and arrangements implemented are, in the Trustee’s opinion consistent with the requirements of Section 36 of the Pensions Act 1995.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**

Over the Plan year to 31 December 2021, there were several changes agreed regarding the Self-Select Fund Range and Default Investment Arrangement.

On 22 March 2021, the Trustee agreed to replace the UK and Overseas equity elements of the current Passive Global Equity Fund with the BlackRock ACS World ESG Equity Tracker Fund. Following this decision, on 5 May 2021, the Trustee agreed to reduce the currency hedging to 50% of the BlackRock ACS World ESG Equity Tracker Fund’s element of the Passive Global Equity Fund.

On 1 September 2021, the Trustee approved the decision to remove the Genesis Emerging Markets Equity Fund from the fund range and replace it with the (existing) BlackRock Emerging Market Equity Index Fund.

On 1 December 2021, the Trustee approved the decision to replace the current BlackRock Institutional Sterling Liquidity Fund with BlackRock LEAF, a cash fund with higher ESG credentials than the BlackRock Institutional Sterling Liquidity Fund. The Trustee also decided to add the Legal and General Investment Management ("LGIM") Pre-Retirement Fund available as a self-select option, white labelled as the 'MS Pre-Annuity Fund'.

The Trustee received written advice from their investment consultant regarding these investment decisions.

**Realisation of Investments**

**POLICY**

In general, the Plan's investment managers have discretion in the timing of realisation of investments and in considerations relating to the liquidity of those investments. The pooled funds in which the Trustee allows members to invest provide the required level of liquidity. Units in the pooled funds
in which the Plan invests are believed to be readily realisable.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**
The funds used by the Plan are white labelled funds, some of which are blended funds, accessed via an Investment Platform and are held through a long-term insurance policy issued by Scottish Widows Limited ("Scottish Widows"). These funds invest in predominantly daily traded pooled investment funds which hold liquid assets. One investment fund, managed by Ruffer, is dealt monthly, but is blended with two daily dealt investment funds which provide daily liquidity to the blended fund. The investment funds are commingled investment vehicles which are managed by various investment managers. The selection, retention and realisation of assets within the pooled funds are managed by the respective investment managers in line with the mandates of the funds. There were no liquidity issues over the year. During the year, the Trustee also made available the Genesis Emerging Markets Fund, which is weekly dealt. This fund was removed from the fund range in February 2022.

**Monitoring the Investment Managers**

**Incentivising asset managers to align their investment strategies and decisions with the Trustee’s policies**

**POLICY**
The underlying investment managers are appointed based on their capabilities and, therefore, their perceived likelihood of achieving the expected return and risk characteristics required for the asset class being selected. The underlying investment managers are aware that their continued appointment is based on their success in delivering the mandate for which they have been appointed to manage. If the Trustee is dissatisfied, then they will look to replace the manager.

If the investment objective for a particular manager’s fund changes, the Trustee will review the fund appointment to ensure it remains appropriate and consistent with the Trustee’s wider investment objectives.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**
The Trustee reviewed the performance of the Plan’s funds quarterly. There was one concern over 2021, relating to the performance of Abrdn GARS. This fund is under review at time of writing.

A change in fund objectives occurred once in 2021 due to a change in benchmark from LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate) to SONIA (Sterling Overnight Index Average) for two investment funds used by the Plan, following the discontinuance of LIBOR as a cash benchmark. The Trustee reviewed and was comfortable that the differences between LIBOR and SONIA are sufficiently minor that no action was required.

**Evaluation of asset managers’ performance and remuneration for asset management services**

**POLICY**
The Trustee receives investment manager performance reports on a quarterly basis, which present performance information over a variety of time periods. The Trustee reviews the absolute performance, relative performance against a suitable index used as the benchmark, and against the underlying manager’s stated target performance (over the relevant time period) on a net of fees basis. Whilst the Trustee’s focus is on long-term performance, they also take shorter-term performance into account.

If an underlying manager is not meeting performance objectives, or their investment objectives for the fund have changed, the Trustee may review the suitability of the manager, and change managers where required.

The Trustee reviewed investment performance at quarterly meetings and informally intra-meeting through monthly performance updates. Whilst the Trustee’s focus has been on long-term performance, they also take shorter-term performance into account.

On one occasion, the Trustee decided a fund was no longer suitable for inclusion in the fund range based primarily on performance and manager fee considerations: the Genesis Emerging Market Fund. This fund had been closed to new contributions for a period of time, was deemed to be providing passive-like performance for active fees, and was no longer providing a differentiated fund choice from the other emerging market equity offerings within the Plan. It was removed from the fund range in February 2022.

In addition, one fund Abrdn GARS is under review at time of writing.
Incentivising the asset manager to make decisions based on assessments about medium to long-term financial and non-financial performance of an issuer of debt or equity

**POLICY**

The Trustee considers the investment consultant’s assessment of how each underlying investment manager embeds ESG into its investment process and how the manager’s responsible investment philosophy aligns with the Trustee’s responsible investment policy. This includes the underlying investment managers’ policy on voting and engagement. The Trustee will use this assessment in decisions around selection, retention and realisation of manager appointments.

The Trustee aims to meet with 1-2 of the underlying investment managers at each quarterly investment committee meeting and challenges decisions made including voting history and engagement activity, to try to ensure the best performance over the medium to long term.

In addition, on an annual basis, it is the Trustee’s policy to review the ESG policies of each of the underlying managers in the DC Section along with their voting and engagement records.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**

The Trustee’s Investment Committee met with 4 of the Plan’s managers (plus additional prospective managers) over the year (Genesis, Wellington, Morgan Stanley, and Baillie Gifford). In the case of Wellington, Morgan Stanley and Baillie Gifford, the decision was taken to retain those managers. Genesis we removed from the fund range in February 2022, as discussed above.

The Trustee’s annual ESG review took place in Q4 2021 and concluded there were no areas of significant concern, as most managers were in the top quartile of the comparable universe; those not in the top quartile are showing improvements in their approach, or are in asset classes where it is harder to demonstrate value at this stage. Voting and engagement records are addressed later in this statement.

Monitoring portfolio turnover costs

**POLICY**

The Trustee considers the DC Section’s portfolio turnover costs as part of the annual value for money assessment.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**

Over the year, the Trustee considered the DC Section’s portfolio turnover costs as part of the annual Value for Members assessment. While the transaction costs provided appear to be reflective of costs expected of the various assets classes and markets that the Plan invests in, there is not as yet any “industry standard” or universe to compare these to. It is worth noting that transaction costs can be negative, thus contributing positively to performance.

The duration of the arrangements with asset managers

**POLICY**

All the funds are open-ended. The DC Section’s funds have no set end date for the arrangement, however, duration is considered as part of the regular reviews. The DC Section’s Fund Range and Default Diversified Option are reviewed on at least a triennial basis. An underlying manager’s appointment may be terminated if it is no longer considered to be optimal nor have a place in the default strategy or general fund range.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**

The Trustee reviewed the performance of the Plan’s funds quarterly. There were no concerns over 2021 with the exception of the Genesis Emerging Markets Fund discussed above. However going into the year, there was a temporary short term overweight to Abrdn Global Absolute Return Strategies within the Active Absolute Return fund (effective since August 2020) while new funds (Ruffer and Nordea) were in the process of being added to the Active Absolute Return Fund. This overweight was rebalanced to target allocation of 33.34% in January 2021 when the new funds were available.

ESG Stewardship and Climate Change

**Financial and non-financial considerations and how those considerations are taken into account in the selection, retention and realisation of investments**

**POLICY**

The Trustee believes that environmental social and corporate governance (“ESG”) factors may have a material impact on investment risk and return outcomes, and that good stewardship can create and preserve value for companies and markets as a whole. The Trustee also recognise that long-term sustainability issues, particularly climate change,
present risks and opportunities that increasingly may require explicit consideration.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**

With regards to selection and retention, the Trustee received advice from its investment consultant in relation to several new funds (and the funds to be replaced). In each case, the Trustee received an assessment from its investment consultant in the form of two ratings. These ratings relate to overall belief in the investment fund to achieve its objectives going forward and assessment of the ESG credentials exhibited by both the fund and the fund manager.

With regards to retention, the Trustee reviews annually the ESG policies of each of the underlying managers in the DC Section along with their adherence to the UK Stewardship Code and carbon footprint (measured by Weighted Average Carbon Intensity).

The latest such review took place in Q4 2021 and concluded there were no areas of significant concern, as most managers were in the top quartile of the comparable universe; those not in the top quartile are showing improvements in their approach, or are in asset classes where it is harder to demonstrate value at this stage. Around half of the Plan’s investment managers are signatories to the UK Stewardship Code, with the remaining managers intending to apply for signatory status. The Weighted Average Carbon Intensity of the Plan’s Passive Global Equity Fund (used in both the default and self-select range) has reduced from c. 160 to c. 129 from September 2020 to June 2021 (primarily as a result of increasing the exposure to ESG tilted equities in January 2021).

The Trustee may incorporate the views of members with respect to the fund range offered. Member views have not explicitly been taken into account in the selection, retention and realisation of investments, although feedback received from members is welcomed and considered by the Trustee.

**Voting and Engagement Disclosures**

The exercise of the rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments and undertaking engagement activities in respect of the investments (including the methods by which, and the circumstances under which, the Trustee would monitor and engage with relevant persons about relevant matters).

**POLICY**

The Trustee expects the underlying managers to evaluate ESG factors, including climate change considerations, exercising voting rights and stewardship obligations attached to investments, in accordance with their own corporate governance policies and current best practice, including the UK Corporate Code and UK Stewardship Code.

**HOW HAS THIS POLICY BEEN MET OVER THE PLAN YEAR?**

The Trustee has delegated their voting rights to the appointed investment managers. Investment managers are expected to provide voting summary reporting on a regular basis, at least annually. Once appointed, the Trustee gives appointed investment managers full discretion in evaluating ESG factors, including climate change considerations, and exercising voting rights and stewardship obligations attached to the investments, in accordance with their own corporate governance policies and current best practice, including the UK Corporate Governance Code and UK Stewardship Code. Adherence to the UK Stewardship Code is assessed annually.

The following funds contain an allocation to equities:

- Active Sustainable Equity
- Active Diversified Growth
- Active Diversified Retirement
- Active Absolute Return
- Passive UK Equity
- Passive Global Equity
- Passive US Equity
- Passive Europe (ex-UK) Equity
- Passive Japan Equity
- Passive Pacific Rim (ex-Japan) Equity
- Passive Emerging Markets Equity
- Active Global Growth Equity
- Active Global Equity
- Active Emerging Markets Equity
- Passive Global Small Cap Equity
- Genesis Emerging Markets
- Aviva Schroders Managed (AVC)
- Standard Life European Equity Pension Fund (AVC)
- Standard Life Far East Equity Pension Fund (AVC)
- Standard Life International Equity Pension Fund (AVC)
- Standard Life Managed Pension Fund (AVC)
- Standard Life North American Equity Pension Fund (AVC)
Examples of Engagement Activity by the Plan’s Equity Investment Managers

The following are examples of engagement activity undertaken by the Plan’s Equity investment managers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ENGAGEMENTS UNDERTAKEN AT A FIRM LEVEL IN THE YEAR</th>
<th>SPLIT BETWEEN E, S AND G OF ENGAGEMENTS</th>
<th>% OF ENGAGEMENTS PERTAINING TO CLIMATE ISSUES</th>
<th>% OF ENGAGEMENTS PERTAINING TO BOARD DIVERSITY</th>
<th>KEY THEMES FOR ENGAGEMENT, AS APPLICABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquila Connect Global Small Cap Equity</td>
<td>937 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 55%; S = 34%; G = 90%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management: 39% / Environmental Impact Management: 15%</td>
<td>Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness: 52%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management; Environmental Impact Management; Operational Sustainability; Human Capital Management; Social Risks and Opportunities; Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness; Business Oversight/ Risk Management; Corporate Strategy; Executive Management; Governance Structure; Remuneration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila Connect Global Equity Blend</td>
<td>2,243 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 67%; S = 37%; G = 88%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management: 49% / Environmental Impact Management: 23%</td>
<td>Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness: 49%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila Connect Emerging Markets</td>
<td>445 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 80%; S = 43%; G = 93%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management: 64% / Environmental Impact Management: 37%</td>
<td>Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness: 52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS US Equity Tracker Fund</td>
<td>583 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 78%; S = 47%; G = 80%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management: 57% / Environmental Impact Management: 33%</td>
<td>Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness: 39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baillie Gifford Long Term Global Growth</td>
<td>47 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 62%; S = 62%; G = 38%</td>
<td>Climate = 23% / Environmental = 43%</td>
<td>Diversity matters = 9%</td>
<td>Environmental, Social, Corporate Governance, AGM or EGM Proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUND</td>
<td>NUMBER OF ENGAGEMENTS UNDERTAKEN AT A FIRM LEVEL IN THE YEAR</td>
<td>SPLIT BETWEEN E, S AND G OF ENGAGEMENTS</td>
<td>% OF ENGAGEMENTS PERTAINING TO CLIMATE ISSUES</td>
<td>% OF ENGAGEMENTS PERTAINING TO BOARD DIVERSITY</td>
<td>KEY THEMES FOR ENGAGEMENT, AS APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila Connect Pacific Rim Equity</td>
<td>208 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 74%; S = 70%; G = 95%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management: 58% / Environmental Impact Management: 42%</td>
<td>Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness: 65%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management; Environmental Impact Management; Operational Sustainability; Human Capital Management; Social Risks and Opportunities; Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness; Business Oversight/ Risk Management; Corporate Strategy; Executive Management; Governance Structure; Remuneration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS Japan Equity Tracker</td>
<td>363 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 58%; S = 28%; G = 93%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management: 52% / Environmental Impact Management: 7%</td>
<td>Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness: 38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila Connect European Equity</td>
<td>462 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 71%; S = 18%; G = 77%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management: 38% / Environmental Impact Management: 5%</td>
<td>Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness: 47%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila Connect UK Equity</td>
<td>3088 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 68%; S = 35%; G = 86%</td>
<td>Climate Risk Management: 49% / Environmental Impact Management: 21%</td>
<td>Board Composition &amp; Effectiveness: 46%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruffer Total Return</td>
<td>36 company engagements</td>
<td>E = 30.3%; S = 9.1%; G = 60.6%</td>
<td>Climate Issues = 50%</td>
<td>Board Diversity = 63.4%</td>
<td>Environmental and governance – climate change and corporate governance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Voting Activity during the Plan year**

Set out below is a summary of voting activity for this reporting period relating to the relevant strategies in the DC Section of the Plan. Voting activity has been requested from all managers but at the time of writing this report, not all managers have responded. We will continue to chase the managers to receive this information. Votes “for / against management” assess how active managers are in voting for and against management. Green represents abstention.

![Voting Activity Diagram]
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Standard Life Managed Pension Fund
79 meetings eligible to vote
1,388 eligible resolutions

Standard Life European Equity Pension Fund
23 meetings eligible to vote
324 eligible resolutions

Standard Life International Equity Pension Fund
54 meetings eligible to vote
324 eligible resolutions

Schroders Managed
591 meetings eligible to vote
7,922 eligible resolutions

BlackRock ACS UK Equity Tracker
793 meetings eligible to vote
10,815 eligible resolutions

Genesis Emerging Markets
143 meetings eligible to vote
1,420 eligible resolutions

BlackRock Aquila Connect Pacific Rim Equity
454 meetings eligible to vote
3,228 eligible resolutions

BlackRock Aquila Connect Emerging Markets
132 meetings eligible to vote
2,106 eligible resolutions

BlackRock ACS Continental Europe Equity Tracker
506 meetings eligible to vote
6,495 eligible resolutions

BlackRock Aquila Connect Global Equity Blend
3,751 meetings eligible to vote
25,877 eligible resolutions

BlackRock ACS UK Equity Tracker
793 meetings eligible to vote
10,815 eligible resolutions

BlackRock ACS Japan Equity Tracker
506 meetings eligible to vote
5,938 eligible resolutions

Source: Underlying fund managers
Sample of significant votes
Managers have provided examples of significant votes across the funds previously noted as containing equity. It is not possible to disclose all the information received in this statement. Therefore, examples of voting activity to include in this statement were included focusing on areas including climate change/carbon emissions, separation of the role of CEO/Board Chairman and board diversity. The final outcome column below represents the result of the Resolution after all the votings: Passed (✓) or Not-Passed (✗).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>HOW THE MANAGER VOTED</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF THE RESOLUTION</th>
<th>RATIONALE FOR THE MANAGER VOTE</th>
<th>FINAL OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baillie Gifford Long Term Global Growth</td>
<td>Netflix</td>
<td>03/06/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Report on political contributions</td>
<td>Baillie Gifford believe enhanced disclosure on the company’s policies and procedures is in shareholders’ best interests.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baillie Gifford Long Term Global Growth</td>
<td>Tesla</td>
<td>07/10/2021</td>
<td>Against</td>
<td>Request additional reporting on Tesla’s diversity and inclusion efforts</td>
<td>Baillie Gifford took the decision to oppose this proposal as they believe the company has made great strides in their approach to diversity, equity and inclusion and associated reporting, and continue to be responsive to their feedback.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Stanley Global Brands Fund</td>
<td>Microsoft Corporation</td>
<td>30/11/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Report on Gender/Racial Pay Gap</td>
<td>Recommend for because shareholders could benefit from the median pay gap statistics that would allow them to compare and measure the progress of the company’s diversity and inclusion initiatives. MSIM is supportive of additional disclosure on this issue.</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Stanley Global Brands Fund</td>
<td>Nike</td>
<td>06/10/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Report on Human Rights Impact assessment</td>
<td>Recommend for because there is a significant supply chain risk and disclosure could improve on this issue.</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Stanley Global Brands Fund</td>
<td>Abbott Laboratories</td>
<td>23/04/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Report on Racial Justice</td>
<td>Recommend for as reporting quantitative and comparable diversity statistics would allow shareholders to better assess the effectiveness of the company’s diversity initiatives and its management of related risks. MSIM is supportive of additional disclosure on this issue.</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruffer Total Return International</td>
<td>Royal Dutch Shell</td>
<td>18/05/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Management resolution relating to the company's climate transition plan</td>
<td>Supportive of the Royal Dutch Shell’s first Energy Transition Strategy plan. The decision was made in the context of the progress Shell has made as a result of engagement and the commitment of the company leadership to continue to meaningfully engage on the remaining areas of Climate Action 100. In light of the opportunity to vote on the company’s transition strategy and the progress made, manager did not see a need to vote in favour of the shareholder proposal filed by the NGO Follow This.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Underlying fund managers
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>HOW THE MANAGER VOTED</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF THE RESOLUTION</th>
<th>RATIONALE FOR THE MANAGER VOTE</th>
<th>FINAL OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruffer Total Return International</td>
<td>American Express</td>
<td>04/05/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Vote on shareholder resolution requesting annual D&amp;I report</td>
<td>Ruffer supported a shareholder resolution that requires the company to annually publish a report assessing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Efforts. Whilst American Express is taking meaningful steps to increase its workforce diversity and promote inclusion, reporting of its diversity statistics has room for improvement. Improvement in Diversity disclosure would benefit shareholders in assessing the company's long-term value and reputational and legal risks.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock Aquila Connect Pac Rim Equity</td>
<td>AGL Energy Limited</td>
<td>22/09/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Approve Paris Goals and Targets</td>
<td>Supportive of company’s efforts to date on these issues. Proposal support based on nature of the proposal.</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock Aquila Connect Pac Rim Equity</td>
<td>Rio Tinto Limited</td>
<td>06/05/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Approve Climate-Related Lobbying</td>
<td>We believe it is in the best interests of shareholders to have access to greater disclosure on this issue.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock ACS US Equity Tracker</td>
<td>Berkshire Hathaway Inc.</td>
<td>01/05/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Report on Climate-Related Risks and Opportunities</td>
<td>The company does not meet manager’s expectations for disclosing a plan for how their business model will be compatible with a low-carbon economy. The company does not meet manager expectations for disclosure of natural capital policies and/or risk.</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock ACS US Equity Tracker</td>
<td>Exxon Mobil Corporation</td>
<td>26/05/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Report on Corporate Climate Lobbying Aligned with Paris Agreement</td>
<td>BlackRock believe it is in the best interests of shareholders to have access to greater disclosure on this issue.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock Aquila Connect Global Equity Blend</td>
<td>BP Plc</td>
<td>12/05/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Approve Shareholder Resolution on Climate Change Targets</td>
<td>BlackRock recognize the company’s efforts to date but believe that supporting the proposal may accelerate the company’s progress on climate risk management and/or oversight.</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock ACS Continental Europe Equity Tracker</td>
<td>VINCI SA</td>
<td>08/04/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Approve Company’s Environmental Transition Plan</td>
<td>None provided</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock ACS UK Equity Tracker</td>
<td>BP Plc</td>
<td>12/05/2021</td>
<td>For</td>
<td>Approve shareholder resolution on climate change targets</td>
<td>BlackRock recognise the company's efforts to date but believe that supporting the proposal may accelerate the company's progress on climate risk management and/or oversight.</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Underlying fund managers