

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC FIRM-SPECIFIC DISCLOSURE DOCUMENTS
PURSUANT TO CFTC RULE 1.55

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Overview of MS&Co., its Significant Business Activities and Product Lines | 2 |
| <i>Significant Business Activities and Product Lines.</i> | 2 |
| <i>Types of Customers.</i> | 3 |
| <i>Market, clearing organization, and carrying broker relationships.</i> | 3 |
| Customer Funds Segregation and MS&Co. Collateral Management and Investments | 5 |
| <i>Customer funds segregation.</i> | 5 |
| <i>MS&Co.'s choice of bank depositories, custodians, and counterparties for customer funds.</i> | 8 |
| <i>Collateral management.</i> | 8 |
| Investment of customer funds. | 9 |
| Material Risks | 10 |
| <i>Potential risks from investments of customer funds.</i> | 10 |
| <i>Potential risks from operations of MS&Co., its affiliates, and third-party service providers.</i> | 11 |
| <i>Potential risks associated with the financial condition of MS&Co. or its affiliates.</i> | 11 |
| Current Risk Practices, Controls, and Procedures | 14 |
| Legal and Regulatory Contingencies. | 15 |
| Appendix A: General Information about MS&Co. | 23 |
| Appendix B: General Information about MSCO's Principals | 24 |
| Appendix C: Current Financial Data | 28 |

Overview of MS&Co., its Significant Business Activities and Product Lines

This disclosure is designed to provide customers with information about Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC (“**MS&Co.**”), including its significant business activities, the products and services it offers, and service providers and intermediaries with which it conducts its business activities, in each case, in its capacity as a registered futures commission merchant (“**FCM**”).

MS&Co is registered as an FCM with the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“**CFTC**”) and as a broker-dealer with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“**SEC**”). As an FCM, MS&Co. is regulated by the CFTC, the National Futures Association (“**NFA**”), an industry-wide self-regulatory organization, and by the Financial and Regulatory Surveillance Department of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (“**CME**”), in its capacity as MS&Co.’s designated self-regulatory organization (“**DSRO**”) under the regulations of the CFTC. As a securities broker-dealer, MS&Co. is regulated by the SEC and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the self-regulatory organization for broker-dealers. MS&Co. operates in both U.S. and non-U.S. markets, with its non-U.S. business activities principally conducted and managed through European and Asian locations.

MS&Co. is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Morgan Stanley, a global financial services firm that, through its subsidiaries and affiliates, provides financial products and services to a large and diversified group of clients and customers, including corporations, governments, financial institutions and individuals. Morgan Stanley was originally incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in 1981, and its predecessor companies date back to 1924. Morgan Stanley is a financial holding company regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Morgan Stanley conducts its business from its headquarters in and around New York City, its regional offices and branches throughout the U.S. and around the world, as well as its principal offices in London, Tokyo, Hong Kong and Singapore.

MS&Co.’s current Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and Independent Auditors’ Report are available here:

http://www.morganstanley.com/about/ir/shareholder/morganstanley_co_llc.pdf?v=20130311.

Morgan Stanley’s current annual report and other SEC filings are available here:

<http://www.morganstanley.com/about/ir/index.html>.

Morgan Stanley’s current annual report and other SEC filings are available here:

<http://www.morganstanley.com/about/ir/index.html>. MS&Co.’s current Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and Independent Auditors’ Report is available here: http://www.morganstanley.com/about/ir/shareholder/morganstanley_co_llc.pdf?v=20130311

Significant Business Activities and Product Lines. MS&Co.’s significant business activities in its capacity as an FCM include customer execution and clearing services in listed futures, swaps, forwards, options and other derivative instruments. These instruments may reference, among other things, interest rates, currencies, investment grade and non-investment grade corporate credits, bonds (including emerging market bonds), securities (including securities issued by the U.S. government and other government issuers), metals, energy products, agricultural commodities, credit indices, and broad and narrow-based security indices listed on U.S. and non-

U.S. security exchanges. MS&Co. also engages in trading and making markets in the same types of instruments.

As a broker-dealer, MS&Co. also engages in securities underwriting and distribution; financial advisory services, including advice on mergers and acquisitions, restructurings, real estate and project finance; sales, trading, financing and market-making activities in equity securities and related products and fixed income securities and related products including foreign exchange and investment activities. The following table sets forth, as of May 31, 2014, the significant types of business activities and product lines engaged in by MS&Co. and the approximate percentage of MS&Co.’s assets and capital that are used in each type of activity.

| Activity/Product Line | Percentage of Assets | Percentage of Capital |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Financing (Resales, Borrowings) | 59.91% | 0.01% |
| Inventory by Business Line | | |
| Fixed Income Commodities and Currencies | 18.81% | 41.54% |
| Equities | 6.15% | 8.08% |
| Other Inventory | 0.65% | 4.89% |
| Goodwill and Tangible Assets | 0.05% | 1.89% |
| Receivable from Broker-Dealers and Customers | 5.57% | 2.83% |
| Investments in Subsidiaries and Receivable from Affiliates | 0.10% | 4.15% |
| Fixed and All Other Assets | 8.76% | 36.61% |
| | 100.00% | 100.00% |

Types of Customers. MS&Co. provides futures and swaps execution and clearing services to a large and diversified group of clients and customers, including a broad range of institutional clients, hedge funds, asset managers, financial institutions, governmental entities, and corporations, as well as individuals and family offices.

Market, clearing organization, and carrying broker relationships. MS&Co. is a member or trading participant of various futures exchanges (known as designated contract markets (“DCMs”) under CFTC regulations) and swaps execution facilities (“SEFs”) on which it trades or facilitates the execution of futures and swaps for its customers, including the following:

| DCM (Designated Contract Market) Memberships | SEF (Swaps Execution Facilities) Memberships |
|---|---|
| Cantor Futures Exchange LP | Bloomberg |
| CBOE Futures LLC | Dealerweb |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Chicago Board of Trade | Tradeweb |
| Chicago Mercantile Exchange, Inc. | FX Connect |
| Commodity Exchange Inc. | Currenex/SwapEx |
| ELX Futures LP | GFI |
| Eris Exchange LLC | tpSEF/tpSWAPDEAL (Tullett) |
| ICE Futures US, Inc. | iSwap/EBS/ BrokerTec (ICAP) |
| Minneapolis Grain Exchange, Inc. | Trad-X/ Volbroker/ Tradition |
| Nasdaq OMX Futures Exchange, Inc. | BGC |
| New York Mercantile Exchange, Inc. | TRSEF (FXALL) |
| Nodal Exchange LLC | ICE Swap (Creditex) |
| North American Derivatives Exchange | |
| NYSE Liffe US, LLC | |
| One Chicago LLC | |
| trueEX LLC | |

In addition, MS&Co. is a foreign approved participant on the Bourse Montréal and a trading participant of the Mercado Mexicano de Derivados (MexDer). MS&Co. is also a clearing member of several clearing houses, and facilitates access to many others through a network of affiliated and non-affiliated carrying brokers, including the following:

| Clearing Organization | MS&Co. is a Clearing Member | MS&Co. clears through an affiliate Clearing Member (as indicated) or MS&Co. arranges clearing through non-affiliate |
|---|--|--|
| ASX Clear | No | Yes (by affiliate) |
| Asigna | No | Yes |
| Canadian Derivatives Clearing Corporation | No | Yes |
| Chicago Mercantile Exchange | Yes | No |
| Eurex Clearing | No | Yes (by affiliate) |
| HKFE Clearing Corp. | No | Yes (by affiliate) |
| ICE Clear US Inc. | Yes | No |
| ICE Clear Europe | Yes | Yes (MS&Co. is self-clearing for some products, and also |

| | | |
|---|------------|--|
| | | clears though affiliate) |
| ICE Clear Credit LLC | Yes | No |
| Japan Commodity Clearing House | No | Yes |
| Japan Securities Clearing Corporation | No | Yes (by affiliate) |
| LCH.Clearnet LLC | Yes | No |
| LCH.Clearnet Limited | Yes | Yes (MS&Co. is self-clearing for some products, and also clears though affiliate) |
| Options Clearing Corporation | Yes | No |
| Singapore Exchange Derivatives Clearing | No | Yes (by affiliate) |
| Taifex Clearing | No | Yes (by affiliate) |

MS&Co.’s global network of trading and clearing relationships with affiliates and non-affiliates includes: Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc, Morgan Stanley Asia Singapore Securities Pte Ltd., Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co. Ltd., Morgan Stanley Australia Securities Limited, Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc, Seoul Branch, Morgan Stanley Taiwan Ltd., Morgan Stanley Hong Kong Securities Limited, BMO Nesbitt Burns Inc. (Bourse Montréal), Newedge USA LLC, and Banamex (MexDer).

Customer Funds Segregation and MS&Co. Collateral Management and Investments

Customer funds segregation. MS&Co. must keep customer cash, securities, and other property (“customer funds”) provided to MS&Co. to margin or guarantee customer futures and swap customer transactions segregated from MS&Co.’s own funds. Depending on the purpose for which such funds are received, customer funds deposited with MS&Co. are allocated to (i) customer segregated accounts for U.S. futures and options on futures; (ii) customer cleared swaps accounts; or (iii) customer foreign futures and options on futures “secured amount” accounts (each, and collectively, the “customer segregated accounts”). Customer funds required to be held in one type of customer segregated account may not be commingled with funds required to be held in another type of customer segregated account (except as specifically authorized under applicable law or by the CFTC).

- **Customer segregated account for futures or options on futures traded on U.S. exchanges.** Customer funds provided to MS&Co. to margin or guarantee futures or options on futures traded on U.S. futures exchanges must be held by MS&Co. in a customer segregated account established at a U.S. bank or trust company, a clearing organization, or another FCM. Funds attributable to multiple customers may be commingled in a single account at a bank or trust company or other permitted depository; however, customer funds attributable to one customer may not be used to meet the obligations of any other person, including another customer.

- ***Secured amount account for foreign futures and options trades by U.S. customers.*** MS&Co. is required to separately hold customer funds for its customers to margin or guarantee their futures and options trades on foreign boards of trade. Collectively these funds are called the “secured amount” and are held in a customer segregated account separate from the funds held in the futures and cleared swap origins. Secured amount customer funds may be maintained in one commingled account for all of MS&Co.’s foreign futures and options customers. Secured amount customer funds may be held with: (i) a bank or trust company located in the United States; (ii) the clearing organization of any foreign board of trade; (iii) a foreign broker; (iv) such a clearing organization’s or foreign broker’s designated depositories; (v) a bank or trust company located outside the United States that has in excess of \$1 billion of regulatory capital; or (vii) an FCM registered with the CFTC. However, MS&Co. may not maintain customer funds in the foreign futures and options account outside the United States except as necessary to meet margin requirements (including pre-funding requirements) established by rule, regulation or order of a foreign boards of trade or foreign clearing organization, or to meet margin calls issued by a foreign broker carrying the secured amount account.
- ***Customer segregated account for cleared swap trades.*** MS&Co. must maintain customer funds that margin cleared swap transactions in a customer segregated account that is separate from the customer segregated account for U.S. futures and from the secured amount account. Funds for all cleared swaps customers may be commingled in a single account and must be held at a bank or trust company, a clearing organization, or another FCM. Customer funds attributable to one cleared swap customer may not be used to meet the obligations of MS&Co. or of any other person, including another customer.

Acknowledgment Letters. Customer funds must be held in an account with a name that clearly identifies the funds as customer funds and shows that the funds are segregated as required under applicable law. An FCM is required to obtain written acknowledgements from each depository with which it custodies customer funds that the depository was informed that such customer funds belong to customers and are being held in accordance with applicable law. (An FCM is not required to obtain a written acknowledgment from a registered derivatives clearing organization that has adopted rules providing for the segregation of customer funds in accordance with the provisions of applicable law.) Among other representations, the depository must acknowledge that it cannot use any portion of customer funds to satisfy any obligations that the FCM may owe the depository. A copy of the letter must be filed with the CFTC and the FCM’s DSRO. Among other provisions, the depository must agree that that it will reply promptly and directly to any request for confirmation of account balances or any other information regarding or related to the customer segregated account from authorized members of the CFTC staff or an appropriate representative of the FCM’s DSRO. In addition, the depository must undertake to provide the CFTC with the technological capability to obtain direct, read-only access to account and transaction information. Separately, DSRO rules require each FCM to instruct each depository, whether located in the United States or outside the United States, that holds customer funds (in any or all of the customer account origins) to confirm to the DSRO all account balances daily. DSRO programs compare the daily balances reported by the depositories with the balances

reported by the FCMs in their daily segregation reports. Any material discrepancies would generate an immediate alert to regulators.

Reporting. MS&Co. is required, on each business day, to calculate its segregation requirement for each segregated customer account and to submit (on the next following business day) to the CFTC and to CME (as its DSRO) a report that sets out (i) the total amount of customer funds required to be held in each segregated customer account origin, (ii) the amount of such customer funds actually held in each segregated customer account origin, and (iii) its residual interest in each segregated customer account origin. In the event that the total amount of funds in a customer segregated account origin is less than the required amounts, MS&Co. would be required to give immediate notice of that fact to the CFTC, NFA, CME (as its DSRO) and other exchanges and clearing houses on which MS&Co. transacts as a member.

MS&Co. makes available on its website (available here: <http://www.morganstanley.com/institutional/sales/derivatives.html>) the following financial information relating to MS&Co.'s operations as an FCM: (i) the daily segregation statement, secured amount statement and cleared swap customer statement for each business day of the last calendar year; (ii) a schedule of the currently available month-end figures for MS&Co.'s tentative net capital, net capital and excess net capital for each month of the last calendar year; (iii) the year-end certified statement of financial condition, segregation statement, secured amount statement and cleared swap statement and all related footnotes thereto as set forth in MS&Co.'s most current and currently available certified annual report; and (iv) the month-end segregation statement, secured amount statement and cleared swap customer statement as set forth on MS&Co.'s month-end and currently available FOCUS reports for each month of the preceding calendar year.

Residual Interest. To ensure that it is continuously in compliance with its segregation requirements, MS&Co. deposits a portion of its own funds in each customer segregated account as a buffer to ensure that account levels do not fall below those required to margin customer positions. These excess funds represent MS&Co.'s "residual interest" in each customer segregated account. Residual interest funds are held for the exclusive benefit of MS&Co.'s customers while held in a customer segregated account. MS&Co. is required to have written policies and procedures regarding the establishment and maintenance of a targeted residual interest in each of the three customer segregated account origins. In establishing the residual interest target amount, MS&Co. senior management have taken into consideration a number factors, including: (i) the nature of MS&Co.'s customers, their general creditworthiness, and their trading activity; (ii) the type of markets and products traded by those customers, as well as MS&Co.'s proprietary trading; (iii) the general volatility and liquidity of those markets and products; (iv) MS&Co.'s own liquidity and capital needs; and (v) historical trends in balances and customer debits in each customer segregated account.

All FCMs are required to notify the CFTC and its DSRO (the CME, for MS&Co.) immediately whenever the amount of residual interest in any segregated customer account falls below the FCM's targeted residual interest for such customer segregated account. In addition, certain restrictions and conditions apply to an FCM's ability to withdraw funds comprising its residual interest from any customer segregated account. Specifically, an FCM must file a regulatory report of any withdrawal of funds from a customer segregated account that exceeds 25 percent of

the FCM's residual interest in that account, and any such withdrawal must be pre-approved in writing by a senior financial officer of the FCM.

Periodic Regulatory Audits. MS&Co. is subject to an annual financial and operational audit conducted by its DSRO, which tests for MS&Co.'s compliance with its obligations under applicable law relating to the handling of and accounting for customer segregated funds. In addition, MS&Co. is subject to periodic audits by the CFTC, NFA and other self-regulatory organizations.

Bankruptcy Protections. The Federal Bankruptcy Code (the "Code") includes provisions relating to the insolvency of an FCM that define customer property to mean cash, securities, or other property held by the FCM for the account of a customer. The Code also sets forth special priority rules for distribution of property to futures customers and exceptions to the automatic stay and voidability provisions of the Code. The Code affords claims of public customers of the FCM (that is, customers of the FCM that are not affiliates of the FCM) the highest priority, subject only to the payment of claims relating to the administration of customer property. In the event of the insolvency of an FCM, where there also was a shortfall in customer funds, customers participating in the relevant account class would be entitled to a pro-rata distribution of customer property, in accordance with the requirements of section 766 of the Code.

MS&Co.'s choice of bank depositories, custodians, and counterparties for customer funds. MS&Co. has adopted policies and procedures for the evaluation of depositories of customer funds, which include criteria that must be met by a depository to be selected to hold customer funds. In evaluating a depository's suitability as a custodian of customer funds, MS&Co. examines, among other factors, the depository's capitalization, creditworthiness, operational reliability, and access to liquidity. MS&Co. also takes into account the extent to which customer funds are concentrated with any depository or group of depositories. The criteria also include the availability of deposit insurance and the extent of the regulation and supervision of the depository.

Upon the approval of a depository as a custodian of customer funds, account opening procedures ensure that, prior to the deposit of customer funds, the depository's authorization requirements are fully documented, and acknowledgment letters required from the depository are executed and filed with the appropriate regulator in accordance with applicable law.

MS&Co. also has policies and procedures for monitoring any approved depository of customer funds on an ongoing basis to assess its continued satisfaction of its established criteria, including annual due diligence review of each depository.

Collateral management. MS&Co. seeks to enable its customers to make efficient use of funds deposited with MS&Co. CFTC regulations and MS&Co. policies and procedures govern how customer funds provided to MS&Co. may be maintained and invested. Joint futures and securities customers of MS&Co. (and its broker-dealer affiliates) may transfer excess margin from their futures, secured amount or cleared swap customer accounts to their securities accounts, and may in turn meet their margin calls to MS&Co. as FCM by a transfer of available cash or collateral from their MS&Co. securities account. Customers should be aware that the funds transferred by a customer from a futures or cleared swaps account to a securities account

would no longer receive the regulatory treatment afforded to funds held in a customer futures or cleared swaps account. Instead, the funds would be subject to applicable securities customer protection rules and statutes such as Rule 15c3-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 (“SIPA”).

Investment of customer funds. CFTC Regulation 1.25 (“**Regulation 1.25**”) sets forth requirements on how FCMs may invest customer funds. Pursuant to Regulation 1.25, an FCM is permitted to use customer funds to purchase permitted investments. Permitted investments must be separately accounted for by the FCM under CFTC Regulation 1.26 and segregated from the FCM’s own assets in accounts that designate the funds as belonging to customers of the FCM and held in segregation as required by the Commodity Exchange Act and CFTC regulations.

MS&Co.’s investments of customer funds must be managed in a manner consistent with the objectives of preserving principal and maintaining liquidity and according to the specific requirements set forth in Regulation 1.25.

The following chart summarizes the investment options under Regulation 1.25:

| Security | Rating* | Concentration – Asset Class | Concentration – Issuer |
|---|---------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| US Government Debt | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| US Munis | AA+ | 10% | 5% |
| US Agency Debt | AA+ | 50% | 25% |
| Certificates of Deposit (FDIC Banks Only) | AA+ | 25% | 5% |
| Commercial Paper (TLGP Only) | AA+ | 25% | 5% |
| Corporate Bonds (TLGP Only) | AA+ | 25% | 5% |
| Money Market Mutual Funds: | | | |
| UST Only MMMFs | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| All Others MMMFs ** | N/A | 50% | 10% *** |

* Highest short-term rating or one of the two highest long-term ratings of a National Securities Rating Service Organization

** 25% concentration limit per family of funds, 10% per any single money market mutual fund (“**MMMF**”)

*** 10% concentration limit applies to MMMFs with less than \$1 billion in assets under management and/or MMMF fund family with less than \$25 billion in assets under management

In addition, permitted investments must satisfy the following general terms and conditions:

- Concentration limits apply to total assets in segregation, excluding customer-owned securities
- Investments must be “readily marketable”
- Weighted average maturity of the permitted investments may not exceed 24 months
- Repurchase transactions with affiliates are prohibited
- A 25% concentration limit per external repurchase agreement counterparty applies
- Positions must be marked-to-market daily and any loss must be funded by the FCM

- Derivatives instruments are generally not permitted
- Certificates of deposits must have a 1-day put with any penalty limited to accrued interest

MS&Co. submits a Segregated Investment Detail Report (“**SIDR**”) to the CFTC, the NFA and CME as its DSRO on the fifteenth and last business days of each month listing the names of all banks, trust companies, FCMs, DCOs, or any other depository or custodian holding customer funds for MS&Co., for each customer segregated account. This report includes: (1) the name and location of each entity holding such customer funds; (2) the total amount of customer funds held by each entity; and (3) the total amount of customer funds, cash and investments that each entity holds. A summary of the information set forth in the current MS&Co. SIDR may be viewed here:

<http://www.nfa.futures.org/basicnet/FCMFinancialsIndex.aspx?entityId=UpygXzt3Ct4%3d>

Investments of MS&Co. funds. MS&Co. invests its own funds separately from its investments of customer funds. These investments include direct investments in: U.S. government and agency securities and other sovereign government obligations; state and municipal securities and other corporate debt; residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities and other asset-backed securities; collateralized debt obligations that typically reference a tranche of an underlying synthetic portfolio of single name credit default swaps collateralized by corporate bonds or cash portfolio of asset-backed securities; exchange-traded and unlisted equity securities and listed fund units; and listed and over-the-counter derivative contracts, including forward, swap and option contracts related to interest rates, foreign currencies, credit standing of reference entities, or equity prices. MS&Co.’s investments also include direct investments in private equity funds, real estate funds and hedge funds.

For additional information on the protection of customer funds under U.S. law, please see the FAQ on Protection of Customer Funds, prepared by the Law and Compliance Division of the Futures Industry Association, which sets forth questions and answers addressing the basics of (i) segregation, collateral management and investments, (ii) minimum financial and other requirements for futures commission merchants (FCMs) and joint FCM/broker-dealers, and (iii) derivatives clearing organization (DCO) guarantee funds. The FAQ is available here: http://www.futuresindustry.org/downloads/PCF_questions.pdf.

Material Risks

As discussed above, customer funds entrusted to MS&Co. are protected by significant regulatory protections and MS&Co.’s internal risk management and investment policies. Nonetheless, customer funds held by MS&Co. are subject to certain risks. As described below, these include the risk of loss of all or part of the customer’s funds due to investments made by MS&Co., risks associated with the operations of MS&Co. or its affiliates, and risks related to the financial condition of MS&Co. or its affiliates.

Potential risks from investments of customer funds. As described above, MS&Co. may invest customer funds, subject to limitations imposed by CFTC regulations and MS&Co.’s policies and procedures. These investment activities may entail risks arising from the particular investments, including market risk (the risk of loss arising from changes in price or value of an investment), credit risk (the risk of loss from a counterparty or issuer failing to meet its financial obligations),

interest rate risk (the risk of loss due to changes to the level of one or more interest rates), and foreign exchange risk (the risk of loss due to changes to the value of a foreign currency or exchange rate between currencies). Under normal circumstances, and in accordance with CFTC regulations, an FCM bears sole responsibility for any losses resulting from the investment of customer funds in permitted investments under Regulation 1.25. However, in the extraordinary circumstance of an FCM's insolvency involving losses on permitted investments that the FCM was unable to cover, customers could end up bearing a pro rata share of such losses.

Potential risks from operations of MS&Co., its affiliates, and third-party service providers.

Customers may be exposed to risks associated with the operations of MS&Co. or its affiliates. These risks include the risk of financial or other loss arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, employees, resources and systems or from fraudulent or other improper conduct. MS&Co.'s business is highly dependent on its ability to process, on a daily basis, a large number of transactions across numerous and diverse markets and in many currencies. MS&Co. performs the functions required to operate its different businesses either by itself or through third-party service providers. MS&Co. relies on the ability of its employees, its internal systems and systems at technology centers operated by unaffiliated third parties to process a high volume of transactions. These third parties may fail to perform their obligations, which could, in turn, disrupt MS&Co.'s operations. MS&Co. also faces the risk of operational failure or cessation of operations of any of the clearing firms, exchanges, clearing houses or other financial intermediaries it uses to facilitate customer transactions. In the event of a breakdown or improper operation of MS&Co.'s or a third party's systems, or improper or unauthorized action by third parties or MS&Co.'s employees, MS&Co. could suffer financial loss, an impairment of liquidity, a disruption of business, regulatory sanctions or damage to its reputation.

As a member of a futures exchange or clearing organization, MS&Co. may be required to pay a proportionate share of the financial obligations of other members who default on their obligations to the exchange or the clearing organization. While the rules governing exchange and clearing organization memberships vary, in general, MS&Co.'s guarantee obligations would arise only if the exchange or clearing organization had previously exhausted its other default resources. The maximum potential payout of an exchange cannot be estimated. MS&Co. believes that any potential requirement to make payments under these agreements is remote.

MS&Co. is regulated by the CFTC and is also subject to the rules of the NFA and of the futures exchanges, clearing organizations and SEFs on which it conducts business. Violations of the rules of the CFTC, NFA, futures exchanges, or SEFs could result in remedial actions, including fines, registration restrictions or terminations, trading prohibitions or revocations of exchange, clearing organization or SEF memberships.

Potential risks associated with the financial condition of MS&Co. or its affiliates. The financial condition of MS&Co. is critical to its continuing operations. As an FCM and a bank affiliate, MS&Co. is subject to capital, liquidity, leverage and other requirements designed to ensure that it is creditworthy and has sufficient financial resources to conduct its business activities. Customers may be negatively affected in their ability to do business with MS&Co. or may elect to transfer positions or collateral to another FCM in the unlikely event of a significant deterioration in the financial condition of MS&Co. Similarly, the deterioration of the financial condition of one of MS&Co.'s affiliates could negatively affect MS&Co. and its customers. In

the event of MS&Co.'s insolvency, customers may be subject to fellow customer risk, which is the risk that losses in customer accounts will not be able to be covered by MS&Co., and the shortfall in customer funds will be apportioned pro rata among MS&Co. customers under U.S. bankruptcy law. An insolvency could also necessitate liquidation of customer positions and could delay reimbursement or reduce the amount of customer account equity.

Credit and creditworthiness. MS&Co. is rated A by Standard & Poor's ("S&P"). MS&Co.'s corporate parent, Morgan Stanley currently has a credit rating of A by Fitch, A2 by Moody's, and A- by S&P. MS&Co.'s rating reflects its material earnings, assets, and capital, and the importance of its products and services to Morgan Stanley's global client base and long-term operating strategy. MS&Co. incurs credit risk exposure to institutions and individuals. This risk may arise from a variety of business activities, including, but not limited to, entering into contracts under which counterparties have obligations to make payments to MS&Co.; extending credit to clients; providing funding that is secured by physical or financial collateral whose value may at times be insufficient to cover the exposure to MS&Co.; and posting margin and/or collateral to counterparties. Managing credit risk requires credit analysis of specific counterparties, both initially and on an ongoing basis. MS&Co. also incurs credit risk from investments whose value may fluctuate based on realized or expected defaults on the underlying obligations or loans.

Market risk. MS&Co.'s operations may be materially affected by market fluctuations and by global and economic conditions and other factors. This risk may impact the demand for MS&Co.'s services, costs of doing business and the value of its investments of its own funds, including in equity securities and interests in private equity, real estate, and hedge funds, as described above. In connection with MS&Co.'s efforts to monitor, measure, and analyze market risk across Morgan Stanley, the firm's market risk division establishes risk limits and risk concentrations across all material firm exposures. In addition, analytical measures, such as VaR and S-VaR, are used by the market risk division as the basis for calculating capital allowances for market risk under SEC rules for broker-dealers and regulatory expectations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and other national and international regulatory bodies for Morgan Stanley. However, notwithstanding these efforts, there remains residual risk that exposures will be measured incorrectly, or that material risk issues will not be appropriately escalated or addressed.

Capital, liquidity and funding risk. MS&Co. is subject to the minimum net capital requirements of the CFTC (as an FCM) and the SEC and FINRA (as a broker-dealer). These requirements are designed to ensure that MS&Co. has sufficient capital to fund its operations and to meet its obligations to customers, counterparties, and creditors. MS&Co., as a dually registered FCM and broker-dealer, must maintain net capital equal to or in excess of the net capital required under Rule 15c3-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as well as adjusted net capital in compliance with CFTC Rule 1.17. Under CFTC rules, MS&Co. must maintain adjusted net capital in compliance with CFTC Rule 1.17. Adjusted net capital under Rule 1.17 means, in general terms, the amount by which current assets exceed liabilities, with adjustments for a wide array of exposures. The adjusted net capital requirements are intended to assure that a firm is sufficiently well capitalized to meet its obligations out of its own funds, independent of segregated customer property. A summary schedule of MS&Co.'s adjusted net capital, net capital, and excess net capital, all computed in accordance with Rule 1.17 and reflecting balances

as of the month-end for the 12 most recent months is available in this disclosure. MS&Co. has consistently operated with capital in excess of these regulatory capital requirements. CFTC regulations require an FCM to file a notice with the CFTC and with the firm's designated self-regulatory organization (meaning, for MS&Co., the CME) whenever the firm fails to maintain compliance with CFTC's capital requirements. If MS&Co. were unable to meet its capital requirements, it could be subject to regulatory action that could cause it to modify, suspend or cease some or all of its business activities.

Liquidity and funding risk refers to the risk that MS&Co. will be unable to finance its operations due to a loss of access to the capital markets or difficulty in liquidating MS&Co.'s assets. Liquidity and funding risk also encompasses risks to MS&Co.'s ability to meet its financial obligations without experiencing significant business disruption or reputational damage that may threaten MS&Co.'s viability as a going concern.

Customer activities. MS&Co.'s customer activities involve the execution, clearing, settlement and financing of various securities, futures, swaps and other derivatives transactions on behalf of customers. Customer securities activities are transacted on either a cash (fully paid) or margin (financed) basis. Customer futures and swap transactions are transacted on a margin basis (meaning the customer must post margin to cover some measure of the exposure on the underlying position). MS&Co. may have to purchase or sell financial instruments at prevailing market prices in the event of the failure of a customer to settle a trade on its original terms or in the event that the customer margin deposits or other collateral are not sufficient to fully cover its losses. MS&Co. seeks to control the risks associated with customer activities by requiring customers to maintain margin collateral in compliance with applicable regulations and internal policies.

Affiliate risk. MS&Co. is permitted to deposit customer funds with affiliated entities, such as affiliated banks, securities brokers or dealers or foreign brokers. Specifically, MS&Co. deposits funds with its affiliates, including Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc, Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co. Ltd., Morgan Stanley Asia Singapore Securities Pte Ltd., Morgan Stanley Taiwan Ltd., Morgan Stanley Hong Kong Securities Limited, and Morgan Stanley Australia Securities Limited. Such deposits by MS&Co. with its affiliates may increase the risk to customer funds but they may also provide benefits. MS&Co. has far more information about an affiliate, including the affiliate's internal controls, investment policies, customer protection regime, finances and systems, than about a third party entity. Moreover, MS&Co. is able to provide services to its customers more efficiently and more effectively if trades are executed and cleared through its affiliate(s), given that MS&Co. and its affiliate(s) use the same systems, which permits straight-through processing of trades, enhancing certainty of execution and reducing errors. The use of affiliates, however, also poses certain risks. Because the activities of MS&Co. and its affiliates are integrated, the failure of one such entity may cause all of the affiliated companies to fail or be placed in administration within a relatively brief period of time. As is the case if an unaffiliated foreign broker were to fail, an affiliate of MS&Co. would be liquidated in accordance with the bankruptcy laws of the local jurisdiction. Customer funds held with such entities would not necessarily receive the same protections afforded customer funds under U.S. law.

Liabilities. MS&Co.'s assets and liabilities are primarily related to transactions attributable to sales, and trading and securities financing activities. Securities financing transactions include cash deposited with clearing organizations or segregated under federal and other regulations or requirements, repurchase and resale agreements, securities borrowed and loaned transactions, securities received as collateral and obligation to return securities received, and customer and other receivables and payables. Securities financing assets and liabilities also include matched book transactions with minimal market, credit and/or liquidity risk. Matched book transactions accommodate customers, as well as obtain securities for the settlement and financing of inventory positions. The customer receivable portion of the securities financing transactions includes customer margin loans, collateralized by customer-owned securities, and customer cash, which is segregated in accordance with regulatory requirements. The customer payable portion of the securities financing transactions primarily includes customer payables to MS&Co.'s prime brokerage customers. MS&Co.'s risk exposure on these transactions is mitigated by collateral maintenance policies that limit MS&Co.'s credit exposure to customers. Additional disclosure relating to MS&Co.'s liabilities is available in MS&Co.'s current Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition, which is available at: http://www.morganstanley.com/about/ir/regulated_information.html.

Current Risk Practices, Controls, and Procedures

Risk controls and procedures. MS&Co. has established risk management practices, controls and procedures implementing its obligations as an FCM under the Commodity Exchange Act and CFTC regulations. In connection with these practices, controls and procedures, MS&Co. establishes credit and market risk-based limits for each proprietary and customer account; screens orders for compliance with such risk-based limits; monitors for adherence to the risk-based limits intra-day and overnight; conducts stress tests of all positions in the proprietary account and all positions in any customer account that could pose material risk; periodically evaluates its ability to meet margin requirements, and to liquidate the positions it clears in an orderly manner; and regularly tests all lines of credit.

MS&Co.'s risk management controls and procedures are implemented as part of a consolidated risk management program that manages risk on a consolidated basis across exposures firm-wide. On that consolidated level, Morgan Stanley has exposures and manages risk relating to a wide range of interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices—and the associated implied volatilities and spreads—related to the global markets in which it conducts its trading activities.

Morgan Stanley is exposed to interest rate and credit spread risk as a result of its market-making activities and other trading in interest rate-sensitive financial instruments (e.g., risk arising from changes in the level or implied volatility of interest rates, the timing of mortgage prepayments, the shape of the yield curve and credit spreads). The activities from which those exposures arise and the markets in which Morgan Stanley is active include, but are not limited to, the following: corporate and government debt across both developed and emerging markets and asset-backed debt (including mortgage-related securities).

Morgan Stanley is exposed to equity price and implied volatility risk as a result of making markets in equity securities and derivatives and maintaining other positions (including positions

in non-public entities). Positions in non-public entities may include, but are not limited to, exposures to private equity, venture capital, private partnerships, real estate funds and other funds. Such positions are less liquid, have longer investment horizons and are more difficult to hedge than listed equities.

Morgan Stanley is exposed to foreign exchange rate and implied volatility risk as a result of making markets in foreign currencies and foreign currency derivatives, from maintaining foreign exchange positions and from holding non-U.S. dollar-denominated financial instruments.

Morgan Stanley is exposed to commodity price and implied volatility risk as a result of market-making activities and maintaining commodity positions in physical commodities (such as crude and refined oil products, natural gas, electricity, and precious and base metals) and related derivatives. Commodity exposures are subject to periods of high price volatility as a result of changes in supply and demand. These changes can be caused by weather conditions; physical production, transportation and storage issues; or geopolitical and other events that affect the available supply and level of demand for these commodities.

Morgan Stanley manages its trading positions by employing a variety of risk mitigation strategies. These strategies include diversification of risk exposures and hedging. Hedging activities consist of the purchase or sale of positions in related securities and financial instruments, including a variety of derivative products (e.g., futures, forwards, swaps and options). Hedging activities may not always provide effective mitigation against trading losses due to differences in the terms, specific characteristics or other basis risks that may exist between the hedge instrument and the risk exposure that is being hedged. Morgan Stanley manages the market risk associated with its trading activities on a company-wide basis, on a worldwide trading division level and on an individual product basis. Morgan Stanley manages and monitors its market risk exposures in such a way as to maintain a portfolio that Morgan Stanley believes is well-diversified in the aggregate with respect to market risk factors and that reflects Morgan Stanley's aggregate risk tolerance as established by its senior management.

Aggregate market risk limits have been approved for Morgan Stanley across all divisions worldwide. Additional market risk limits are assigned to trading desks and, as appropriate, products and regions. Trading division risk managers, desk risk managers, traders and the Market Risk Department monitor market risk measures against limits in accordance with policies set by senior management.

Legal and Regulatory Contingencies.

In the normal course of business, MS&Co. has been named, from time to time, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation, arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Certain of the actual or threatened legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. MS&Co. is also involved, from time to time, in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding MS&Co.'s business, including, among other matters, accounting and operational matters, certain of which may result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief.

While MS&Co. has identified below any individual proceedings where MS&Co. believes a material loss to be reasonably possible and reasonably estimable, there can be no assurance that material losses will not be incurred from claims that have not yet been notified to MS&Co. or are not yet determined to be probable or possible and reasonably estimable losses. MS&Co. contests liability and/or the amount of damages as appropriate in each pending matter. In many proceedings, however, it is inherently difficult to determine whether any loss is probable or even possible or to estimate the amount of any loss. MS&Co. cannot predict with certainty if, how or when such proceedings will be resolved or what the eventual settlement, fine, penalty or other relief, if any, may be, particularly for proceedings that are in their early stages of development or where plaintiffs seek substantial or indeterminate damages. Numerous issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and determination of important factual matters, determination of issues related to class certification and the calculation of damages, and by addressing novel or unsettled legal questions relevant to the proceedings in question, before a loss or additional loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated for any proceeding.

Set forth below is a summary of material administrative, civil, enforcement, or criminal complaints or actions filed against MS&Co., where such complaints or actions have not concluded, and including enforcement complaints or actions filed against MS&Co. during the last three years.

On June 5, 2012, MS&Co. consented to and became the subject of an Order Instituting Proceedings Pursuant to Sections 6(c) and 6(d) of the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended, Making Findings and Imposing Remedial Sanctions by The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) to resolve allegations related to the failure of a salesperson to comply with exchange rules that prohibit off-exchange futures transactions unless there is an Exchange for Related Position (EFRP). In addition, the CFTC found that MS&Co. violated CFTC Regulation 166.3 by failing to supervise the handling of the trades at issue and failing to have adequate policies and procedures designed to detect and deter the violations of the Act and Regulations. Without admitting or denying the underlying allegations and without adjudication of any issue of law or fact, MS&Co. accepted and consented to entry of findings and the imposition of a cease and desist order, a fine of \$5,000,000, and undertakings related to public statements, cooperation and payment of the fine. MS&Co. entered into corresponding and related settlements with the CME and CBOT in which the CME found that MS&Co. violated CME Rules 432.Q and 538 and fined MS&Co. \$750,000 and CBOT found that MS&Co. violated CBOT Rules 432.Q and 538 and fined MS&Co. \$1,000,000.

On December 23, 2009, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle filed a complaint against MS&Co. and another defendant in the Superior Court of the State of Washington, styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc., et al.* The amended complaint, filed on September 28, 2010, alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by MS&Co. was approximately \$233 million. The complaint raises claims under the Washington State Securities Act and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. As of March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage

pass-through certificates at issue in these cases was approximately \$56 million, and the certificates had not yet incurred actual losses. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss for this action up to the difference between the \$56 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to be indemnified for some of these losses and to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

On March 15, 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco filed two complaints against MS&Co. and other defendants in the Superior Court of the State of California. These actions are styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco v. Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, et al.*, and *Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco v. Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. et al.*, respectively. Amended complaints filed on June 10, 2010 allege that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to plaintiff of a number of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by MS&Co. in these cases was approximately \$704 million and \$276 million, respectively. The complaints raise claims under both the federal securities laws and California law and seek, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. As of March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in these cases was approximately \$309 million, and the certificates had incurred actual losses of approximately \$5 million. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss for this action up to the difference between the \$309 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to be indemnified for some of these losses and to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

On July 9, 2010 and February 11, 2011, Cambridge Place Investment Management Inc. filed two separate complaints against MS&Co. and other defendants in the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, both styled *Cambridge Place Investment Management Inc. v. Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc., et al.* The complaints assert claims on behalf of certain clients of plaintiff's affiliates and allege that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale of a number of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by MS&Co. or sold to plaintiff's affiliates' clients by MS&Co. in the two matters was approximately \$263 million. On February 11, 2014, the parties entered into an agreement to settle the litigation. On February 20, 2014, the court dismissed the action.

On July 15, 2010, China Development Industrial Bank ("CDIB") filed a complaint against MS&Co., which is styled *China Development Industrial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated et al.*, which is pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County ("Supreme Court of NY, NY County"). The complaint relates to a \$275 million credit default swap referencing the super senior portion of the STACK 2006-1 CDO. The complaint asserts claims for common law fraud, fraudulent inducement and fraudulent concealment and alleges that MS&Co. misrepresented the risks of the STACK 2006-1 CDO to CDIB, and that MS&Co. knew that the assets backing the CDO were of poor quality when it entered into the

credit default swap with CDIB. The complaint seeks compensatory damages related to the approximately \$228 million that CDIB alleges it has already lost under the credit default swap, rescission of CDIB's obligation to pay an additional \$12 million, punitive damages, equitable relief, fees and costs. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss of up to approximately \$240 million plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs.

On October 15, 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago filed a complaint against MS&Co. and other defendants in the Circuit Court of the State of Illinois styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago v. Bank of America Funding Corporation et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of a number of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by MS&Co. in this action was approximately \$203. The complaint raises claims under Illinois law and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. As of March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$57 million, and the certificates had not yet incurred actual losses. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$57 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to be indemnified for some of these losses and to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

On October 25, 2010, MS&Co., certain affiliates and Pinnacle Performance Limited, a special purpose vehicle ("SPV"), were named as defendants in a purported class action related to securities issued by the SPV in Singapore, commonly referred to as Pinnacle Notes. The case is styled *Ge Dandong, et al. v. Pinnacle Performance Ltd., et al.* and is pending in the SDNY. An amended complaint was filed on October 22, 2012. On January 31, 2014, plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint. The second amended complaint alleges that the defendants engaged in a fraudulent scheme to defraud investors by structuring the Pinnacle Notes to fail and benefited subsequently from the securities' failure. In addition, the second amended complaint alleges that the securities' offering materials contained material misstatements or omissions regarding the securities' underlying assets and the alleged conflicts of interest between the defendants and the investors. The second amended complaint asserts common law claims of fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, fraudulent inducement, aiding and abetting fraudulent inducement, and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. On March 25, 2014, the court denied defendants' petition seeking permission to appeal the court's decision granting class certification. Plaintiffs seek damages of approximately \$138.7 million, rescission, punitive damages, and interest.

On July 5, 2011, Allstate Insurance Company and certain of its affiliated entities filed a complaint against MS&Co. in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Allstate Insurance Company, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* An amended complaint was filed on September 9, 2011 and alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued and/or sold to plaintiffs by MS&Co. was approximately \$104 million. The complaint raises common law claims of fraud, fraudulent inducement, aiding and abetting fraud and negligent misrepresentation and

seeks, among other things, compensatory and/or recessionary damages associated with plaintiffs' purchases of such certificates. At March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$99 million, and the certificates had not yet incurred actual losses. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$99 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

On July 18, 2011, the Western and Southern Life Insurance Company and certain affiliated companies filed a complaint against MS&Co. and other defendants in the Court of Common Pleas in Ohio, styled *Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc., et al.* An amended complaint was filed on April 2, 2012 and alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The amount of the certificates allegedly sold to plaintiffs by MS&Co. was approximately \$153 million. The amended complaint raises claims under the Ohio Securities Act, federal securities laws, and common law and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiffs' purchases of such certificates. As of March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$115 million, and the certificates had incurred actual losses of approximately \$1 million. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$115 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

On September 2, 2011, the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA"), as conservator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, filed 17 complaints against numerous financial services companies, including MS&Co.. A complaint against MS&Co. and other defendants was filed in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Federal Housing Finance Agency, as Conservator v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac of residential mortgage pass-through certificates with an original unpaid balance of approximately \$11 billion. The complaint raised claims under federal and state securities laws and common law and seeks, among other things, rescission and compensatory and punitive damages. On February 7, 2014, the parties entered into an agreement to settle the litigation. On February 20, 2014, the court dismissed the action.

On April 25, 2012, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and certain affiliates filed a complaint against MS&Co. and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY styled *Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* An amended complaint was filed on June 29, 2012 and alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by MS&Co. was approximately \$758 million. The amended complaint raised

common law claims of fraud, fraudulent inducement, and aiding and abetting fraud and seeks, among other things, rescission, compensatory and/or rescissionary damages, as well as punitive damages, associated with plaintiffs' purchases of such certificates. On January 23, 2014, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the litigation. On April 25, 2014, the parties filed a stipulation of voluntary discontinuance of the action with prejudice.

On November 4, 2011, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), as receiver for Franklin Bank S.S.B., filed two complaints against MS&Co. in the District Court of the State of Texas. Each was styled *Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for Franklin Bank S.S.B. v. Morgan Stanley & Company LLC F/K/A Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc.* and alleged that MS&Co. made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to plaintiff of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The amount of certificates allegedly underwritten and sold to the plaintiff by MS&Co. in these cases was approximately \$67 million and \$35 million, respectively. The complaints each raised claims under both federal securities law and the Texas Securities Act and each seeks, among other things, compensatory damages associated with plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On February 6, 2013, the FDIC filed an amended consolidated complaint. On February 25, 2013, MS&Co. filed a motion for summary judgment and special exceptions, which motion was denied in substantial part on April 26, 2013. On May 3, 2013, the FDIC filed a second amended consolidated complaint. Trial is currently scheduled to begin in November 2014. At March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$52 million, and the certifications had incurred actual losses of approximately \$5 million. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$52 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus and losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to be indemnified for some of these losses and to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

On April 25, 2012, The Prudential Insurance Company of America and certain affiliates filed a complaint against MS&Co. and certain affiliates in the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey styled *The Prudential Insurance Company of America, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by MS&Co. is approximately \$1 billion. The complaint raises claims under the New Jersey Uniform Securities Law, as well as common law claims of negligent misrepresentation, fraud and tortious interference with contract and seeks, among other things, compensatory damages, punitive damages, rescission and rescissionary damages associated with plaintiffs' purchases of such certificates. On October 16, 2012, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint which, among other things, increases the total amount of the certificates at issue by approximately \$80 million, adds causes of action for fraudulent inducement, equitable fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, and violations of the New Jersey RICO statute, and includes a claim for treble damages. As of March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$636 million, and the certificates had not yet incurred actual losses. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it

could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$636 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to be indemnified for some of these losses and to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

On April 20, 2011, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston filed a complaint against MS&Co. and other defendants in the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston v. Ally Financial, Inc. F/K/A GMAC LLC et al.* An amended complaint was filed on June 19, 2012 and alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by MS&Co. or sold to plaintiff by MS&Co. was approximately \$385 million. The amended complaint raises claims under the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act, the Massachusetts Consumer Protection Act and common law and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On May 26, 2011, defendants removed the case to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. As of March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$78 million, and the certificates had incurred actual losses of \$1 million. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$78 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to be indemnified for some of these losses and to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

On February 14, 2013, Bank Hapoalim B.M. filed a complaint against MS&Co. and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Bank Hapoalim B.M. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitization trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by MS&Co. to plaintiff was approximately \$141 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against MS&Co. for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, and negligent misrepresentation, and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On April 22, 2014, the defendants' motion to dismiss was denied in substantial part. At March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$76 million, and the certificates had not yet incurred actual losses. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$76 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs.

On September 23, 2013, plaintiffs in *National Credit Union Administration Board v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc., et al.* filed a complaint against MS&Co. and certain affiliates in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates issued by securitization trusts containing

residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by MS&Co. to plaintiffs was approximately \$417 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against MS&Co. for violations of Section 11 and Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, violations of the Texas Securities Act, and violations of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953 and seeks, among other things, rescissory and compensatory damages. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on November 13, 2013. On January 22, 2014 the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss with respect to claims arising under the Securities Act of 1933 and denied defendants' motion to dismiss with respect to claims arising under Texas Securities Act and the Illinois Securities Law of 1953. As of March 25, 2014, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$220 million, and the certificates had incurred actual losses of \$25 million. Based on currently available information, MS&Co. believes it could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$220 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against MS&Co., plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. MS&Co. may be entitled to be indemnified for some of these losses and to an offset for interest received by the plaintiff prior to a judgment.

Appendix A: General Information about MS&Co. as FCM

| | |
|---|--|
| FCM Name | Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC |
| Address of Principal Place of Business | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 United States |
| Phone Number | (866) 227-2256 (Monday - Friday, 9 am-7 pm) |
| Fax Number | (801) 365-3848 |
| Email Address | ClientAdvocate@morganstanley.com |
| Web Site Address | http://www.morganstanley.com |
| Web Site Address of MS&Co.'s Annual Audited Financial Statement | http://www.morganstanley.com/about/ir/regulated_information.html |
| MS&Co.'s Self-Regulatory Organizations ("SROs") and Related Websites | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chicago Mercantile Exchange Financial and Regulatory Surveillance Department (MS&Co.'s designated SRO). (www.cmegroup.com) • Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (www.finra.org) • Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (www.msrb.org) • National Futures Association (www.nfa.futures.org) • Intercontinental Exchange (www.theice.com) • LCH.Clearnet Ltd. (www.lchclearnet.com) |
| Address for Complaints | <p>A customer that wishes to file a complaint about Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC or one of its employees with the CFTC can contact the Division of Enforcement either electronically at https://forms.cftc.gov/fp/complaintform.aspx or by calling the Division of Enforcement toll-free at 866-FON-CFTC (866-366-2382).</p> <p>A customer that may file a complaint about the Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC or one of its employees with the National Futures Association electronically at http://www.nfa.futures.org/basicnet/Complaint.aspx or by calling NFA directly at 800-621-3570.</p> <p>A customer that wishes to file a complaint about the Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC or one of its employees with the Chicago Mercantile Exchange electronically at: http://www.cmegroup.com/market-regulation/file-complaint.html or by calling the CME at 312.341.3286.</p> |

Appendix B: General Information about the Principals of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC in its capacity as a futures commission merchant (“FCM”)

| | |
|--|--|
| Craig T. Abruzzo | |
| Principal’s Title | Managing Director |
| Principal’s Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal’s Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 1995 |
| Principal’s Areas of Responsibilities | Head of Business Unit, Division, or Function |
| Nature of Principal’s Duties | Manages MSCO’s FCM business |
| Mohit Ashok Assomull | |
| Principal’s Title | Managing Director |
| Principal’s Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal’s Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 1996 |
| Principal’s Areas of Responsibilities | Director |
| Nature of Principal’s Duties | Manager in Institutional Securities Division |
| Edward R. Backer | |
| Principal’s Title | Managing Director |
| Principal’s Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal’s Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 1995 |
| Principal’s Areas of Responsibilities | Head of Business Unit, Division, or Function |
| Nature of Principal’s Duties | Futures Trading Execution |
| Philip J. Davies | |

| | |
|--|--|
| Principal's Title | Managing Director |
| Principal's Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal's Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 2009 |
| Principal's Areas of Responsibilities | Head of Business Unit, Division, or Function |
| Nature of Principal's Duties | Operations |
| William D. Hirshorn | |
| Principal's Title | Managing Director |
| Principal's Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal's Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 1986 |
| Principal's Areas of Responsibilities | Head of Business Unit, Division, or Function |
| Nature of Principal's Duties | Operations |
| Thomas C. Kelleher | |
| Principal's Title | Managing Director |
| Principal's Business Address | 20 Bank Street Canary Wharf London, England |
| Principal's Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 1989 |
| Principal's Areas of Responsibilities | Chief Executive Officer |
| Nature of Principal's Duties | President – Institutional Securities Division |
| Sergio J. Lupetin | |
| Principal's Title | Managing Director |
| Principal's Business Address | 1 New York Plaza NY NY 10004 |
| Principal's Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 1999 |

| | |
|--|--|
| Principal's Areas of Responsibilities | Chief Financial Officer |
| Nature of Principal's Duties | Regulatory Reporting |
| Graeme McEvoy | |
| Principal's Title | Managing Director |
| Principal's Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal's Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 2008 |
| Principal's Areas of Responsibilities | Head of Business Unit, Division, or Function |
| Nature of Principal's Duties | Operations |
| Rose-Anne N. Richter | |
| Principal's Title | Managing Director |
| Principal's Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal's Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 1987 |
| Principal's Areas of Responsibilities | Chief Compliance Officer |
| Nature of Principal's Duties | Compliance |
| Jason Swankoski | |
| Principal's Title | Executive Director |
| Principal's Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal's Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 2005 |
| Principal's Areas of Responsibilities | Head of Business Unit, Division, or Function |
| Nature of Principal's Duties | OTC Client Clearing |
| Thomas G. Wipf | |

| | |
|--|---|
| Principal's Title | Managing Director |
| Principal's Business Address | 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036 |
| Principal's Business Background | Employed with Morgan Stanley since 1986 |
| Principal's Areas of Responsibilities | Director |
| Nature of Principal's Duties | Bank Resource Management |
| | |

Appendix C: Current Financial Data

The following financial data for Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC is current as of July 2014:

1. MS&Co.'s total equity, regulatory capital and net worth, computed in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and CFTC Rule 1.17: **\$6,971,055,716 as of May 31, 2014**
2. Dollar value of the MS&Co.'s margin requirements for its proprietary trading as a percentage of the aggregate margin requirement for futures customers, Cleared Swaps Customers, and 30.7 customers: **35 percent as of May 31, 2014**
3. Smallest number of futures customers, Cleared Swaps Customers, and 30.7 customers that comprise 50% of MS&Co.'s total funds held for futures customers, Cleared Swaps Customers, and 30.7 customers, respectively: **Futures = 1,066; 30.7 Customers = 712; Cleared Swaps Customers = 1,004, in each case, as of June 30, 2014**
4. Aggregate notional value, by asset class, of all non-hedged, principal OTC transactions into which MS&Co. has entered.

Please see page 34 MS&Co.'s Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2013 and Independent Auditors' Report, available here: http://www.morganstanley.com/about/ir/shareholder/morganstanley_co_llc.pdf?v=20130311

5. Amount, generic source and purpose of any committed unsecured lines of credit (or similar short-term funding) MS&Co. has obtained but not yet drawn upon: **Not applicable**
6. Aggregated amount of financing MS&Co. provides for customer transactions involving illiquid financial products for which it is difficult to obtain timely and accurate prices: **Not applicable**
7. Percentage of futures customer, Cleared Swaps Customer, and 30.7 customer receivable balances that MS&Co. had to write-off as uncollectable during the past 12-month period, as compared to the current balance of funds held for futures customers, Cleared Swaps Customers, and 30.7 customers: **Not applicable**

In addition, the following financial information is available each business day on this website:

(i) The daily Statement of Segregation Requirements and Funds in Segregation for Customers Trading on U.S. Exchanges for the most current 12-month period;

(ii) The daily Statement of Secured Amounts and Funds Held in Separate Accounts for 30.7 Customers Pursuant to Commission Regulation 30.7 for the most current 12-month period;

(iii) The daily Statement of Cleared Swaps Customer Segregation Requirements and Funds in Cleared Swaps Customer Accounts Under Section 4d(f) of the Act for the most current 12-month period;

(iv) A summary schedule of MS&Co.'s adjusted net capital, net capital, and excess net capital, all computed in accordance with CFTC Rule 1.17 and reflecting balances as of the month-end for the 12 most recent months;

(v) The Statement of Financial Condition, the Statement of Segregation Requirements and Funds in Segregation for Customers Trading on U.S. Exchanges, the Statement of Secured Amounts and Funds Held in Separate Accounts for 30.7 Customers Pursuant to Commission Regulation 30.7, the Statement of Cleared Swaps Customer Segregation Requirements and Funds in Cleared Swaps Customer Accounts Under Section 4d(f) of the Act, and all related footnotes to the above schedules that are part of MS&Co.'s most current certified annual report pursuant to CFTC Rule 1.16; and

(vi) The Statement of Segregation Requirements and Funds in Segregation for Customers Trading on U.S. Exchanges, the Statement of Secured Amounts and Funds Held in Separate Accounts for 30.7 Customers Pursuant to Commission Regulation 30.7, and the Statement of Cleared Swaps Customer Accounts Under Section 4d(f) of the Act that are part of MS&Co.'s unaudited Form 1-FR-FCM or Financial and Operational Combined Uniform Single Report under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("FOCUS Report") for the most current 12-month period.