

PRIME DEALER SERVICES CORP.

**STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AS OF JUNE 30, 2009**

(UNAUDITED)

PRIME DEALER SERVICES CORP.
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
June 30, 2009
(Unaudited)
(In thousands of dollars, except share data)

ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents	\$193,313
Cash deposited with clearing organization	150
Securities borrowed	3,749,104
Securities received as collateral, at fair value	9,236,258
Other assets	<u>44</u>
Total assets	<u>\$13,178,869</u>

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

Securities loaned	\$3,749,104
Obligation to return securities received as collateral, at fair value	9,236,258
Payables to affiliates	94,128
Other liabilities	<u>74</u>
Total liabilities	<u>13,079,564</u>
Stockholder's equity:	
Common stock (\$1 par value, 1,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding)	1
Paid-in capital	24,999
Retained earnings	<u>74,305</u>
Total stockholder's equity	<u>99,305</u>
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	<u>\$13,178,869</u>

See Notes to Statement of Financial Condition.

PRIME DEALER SERVICES CORP.
NOTES TO STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
June 30, 2009
(Unaudited)
(In thousands of dollars)

Note 1 - Introduction and Basis of Presentation

The Company

Prime Dealer Services Corp. (the “Company”) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated (“MS&Co.”), which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley (the “Parent”). The Company is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) as a broker-dealer and is primarily engaged in the borrowing and lending of securities.

Basis of Financial Information

The statement of financial condition is prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which require the Company to make estimates and assumptions regarding the valuation of certain financial instruments, tax and other matters that affect the statement of financial condition and related disclosures. The Company believes that the estimates utilized in the preparation of the statement of financial condition are prudent and reasonable. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

Change in Fiscal Year End

On December 16, 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a change in the Company’s fiscal year end from November 30 to December 31 of each year. This change to the calendar year reporting cycle began December 1, 2008. As a result of the change, the Company has a thirteen month reporting period in December 2009. The unaudited results for the one month period ended December 31, 2008 are included in this report.

Related Party Transactions

At June 30, 2009, the Company had securities borrowed and securities received as collateral transactions of \$3,749,104 and \$9,236,258, respectively, all of which relates to collateral received from affiliates. Receivables from affiliates relate primarily to a receivable from MS&Co. due to the unwinding of securities borrowed. Payables to affiliates relate primarily to income taxes paid by the Parent on behalf of the Company.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and highly liquid investments not held for resale with maturities, when purchased, of three months or less.

Cash Deposited With Clearing Organization

Cash deposited with clearing organization represents cash deposited with the Options Clearing Corporation.

Financial Instruments and Fair Value

Securities received as collateral and obligation to return securities received as collateral are measured at fair value. A description of the Company's policies regarding fair value measurement and its application follows.

Fair Value Measurement – Definition and Hierarchy

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the "exit price") in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

In determining fair value, the Company uses various valuation approaches and establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

- Level 1 -- Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to Level 1 instruments. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.
- Level 2 -- Valuations based on one or more quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3 -- Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

The availability of observable inputs can vary from product to product and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of product, whether the product is new and not yet established in the marketplace, the liquidity of markets and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3.

The Company uses prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods of market dislocation. In periods of market dislocation, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be reclassified from Level 1 to Level 2 or Level 2 to Level 3. (See Note 3). In addition, a continued downturn in market conditions could lead to further declines in the valuation of many instruments.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement falls in its entirety is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Valuation Techniques

Many cash and OTC contracts have bid and ask prices that can be observed in the marketplace. Bid prices reflect the highest price that a party is willing to pay for an asset. Ask prices represent the lowest price that a party is willing to accept for an asset. For financial instruments whose inputs are based on bid-ask prices, the Company does not require that fair value estimate always be a predetermined point in the bid-ask range. The Company's policy is to allow for mid-market pricing and adjusting to the point within the bid-ask range that meets the Company's best estimate of fair value. For offsetting positions in the same financial instrument, the same price within the bid-ask spread is used to measure both the long and short positions.

Fair value for many cash and OTC contracts is derived using pricing models. Pricing models take into account the contract terms (including maturity) as well as multiple inputs including, where applicable, commodity prices, equity prices, interest rate yield curves, credit curves, correlation creditworthiness of the counterparty, option volatility and currency rates. Where appropriate, valuation adjustments are made to account for various factors such as liquidity risk (bid-ask adjustments), credit quality and model uncertainty. Credit valuation adjustments are applied to both cash instruments and OTC derivatives. For cash instruments, the impact of changes in the Company's own credit spreads is considered when measuring the fair value of liabilities and the impact of changes in the counterparty's credit spreads is considered when measuring the fair value of assets. For OTC derivatives, the impact of changes in both the Company's and the counterparty's credit standing is considered when measuring fair value. In determining the expected exposure, the Company considers collateral held and legally enforceable master netting agreements that mitigate the Company's exposure to each counterparty. All valuation adjustments are subject to judgment, are applied on a consistent basis and are based upon observable inputs where available. The Company generally subjects all valuations and models to a review process initially and on a periodic basis thereafter.

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant rather than an entity-specific measure. Therefore, even when market assumptions are not readily available, the Company's own assumptions are set to reflect those that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date.

See Note 3 for a description of valuation techniques applied to the major categories of financial instruments measured at fair value.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are provided using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates.

Accounting Developments

Guidance and Disclosures on Fair Value Measurements. In April 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued FASB Staff Position (“FSP”) Financial Accounting Standards (“FAS”) 157-4, “Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly” (“FSP FAS 157-4”) and FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, “Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments” (“FSP FAS 107-1” and “APB 28-1”). FSP FAS 157-4 provides additional application guidance in determining fair values when there is no active market or where the price inputs being used represent distressed sales. It reaffirms what Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” states is the objective of fair value measurement—to reflect how much an asset would be sold for in an orderly transaction (as opposed to a distressed or forced transaction) at the date of the financial statements under current market conditions. Specifically, it reaffirms the need to use judgment to ascertain if a formerly active market has become inactive and in determining fair values when markets have become inactive. The Company adopted FSP FAS 157-4 in the period ended June 30, 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company’s statement of financial condition.

Subsequent Events. In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, “Subsequent Events” (“SFAS No. 165”). The objective of SFAS No. 165 is to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date—that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. The Company evaluates subsequent events through the date that the Company’s financial statements are issued. The adoption of SFAS No. 165 did not have a material impact on the Company’s statement of financial condition.

FASB Accounting Standards Codification. In July 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, “The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles—a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162” (“SFAS No. 168”). SFAS No. 168 establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“Codification”) to become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. All existing accounting standard documents are superseded. All other accounting literature not included in the Codification will be considered non-authoritative. The Codification does not change current GAAP. SFAS No. 168 and the Codification are effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company plans to adopt the Codification in the third quarter of 2009. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact on the Company’s statement of financial condition. References to authoritative U.S. GAAP literature, however, in the Company’s financial statements and notes thereto will be updated to reflect new Codification references.

Note 3 – Fair Value Disclosures

A description of the valuation techniques applied to the Company’s major categories of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis follows.

Securities received as collateral and obligation to return securities received as collateral

Securities received as collateral and obligation to return securities received as collateral are generally valued based on quoted market prices and are categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. A portion of the securities received as collateral and obligation to return securities

received as collateral are valued using pricing models or based on inputs that are unobservable and are categorized in Level 2 and Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, respectively.

The following fair value hierarchy table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009:

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis as of June 30, 2009

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance as of June 30, 2009
Assets				
Securities received as collateral	\$ 8,746,603	\$ 472,989	\$ 16,666	\$ 9,236,258
Liabilities				
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	\$ 8,746,603	\$ 472,989	\$ 16,666	\$ 9,236,258

Note 4 – Collateralized Transactions

Securities borrowed and securities loaned are carried at the amount of cash collateral advanced and received in connection with the transactions.

The Company enters into securities borrowed and securities loaned transactions to accommodate customers' needs. Under securities borrowed transactions, the Company receives collateral in the form of securities, which in many cases can be sold or repledged. The Company uses this collateral to enter into securities lending transactions. At June 30, 2009, the fair value of securities received as collateral where the Company is permitted to sell or repledge the securities was \$12,985,362 of which \$12,985,362 had been repledged.

The Company receives securities as collateral in connection with certain securities for securities transactions in which the Company is the lender. In instances where the Company is permitted to sell or repledge these securities, the Company reports the fair value of the collateral received and related obligation to return the collateral in the statement of financial condition. At June 30, 2009, \$9,236,258 was reported as securities received as collateral and obligation to return securities received as collateral in the statement of financial condition, \$8,678,807 of which had been repledged.

The Company manages credit exposure arising from securities borrowed and securities loaned transactions by, in appropriate circumstances, entering into master netting agreements and collateral arrangements with counterparties that provide the Company, in the event of a customer default, the right to liquidate collateral and the right to offset counterparty's rights and obligations. The Company also monitors the fair value of the underlying securities as compared with the related receivable or payable, including accrued interest, and, as necessary, requests additional collateral to ensure such transactions are adequately collateralized. Where deemed appropriate, the Company's agreements with third parties specify its rights to request additional collateral.

Note 5 – Contingencies

There are no pending legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation, arising in connection with the Company's activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Legal reserves are established in accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingences". Once established, reserves are adjusted when there is more information available or when an event occurs requiring a change. There are no legal reserves in the statement of financial condition as of June 30, 2009.

Note 6 - Risk Management

The Company's risk management policies and related procedures are integrated with those of the Parent and its other consolidated subsidiaries. These policies and related procedures are administered on a coordinated global basis with consideration given to each subsidiary's, including the Company's, specific capital and regulatory requirements. For the discussion which follows, the term "Company" includes the Parent and its subsidiaries.

The cornerstone of the Company's risk management philosophy is the execution of risk adjusted returns through prudent risk-taking that protects the Company's capital base and franchise. The Company's risk management philosophy is based on the following principles: comprehensiveness, independence, accountability, defined risk tolerance and transparency. Given the importance of effective risk management to the Company's reputation, senior management requires thorough and frequent communication and appropriate escalation of risk matters.

Risk management at the Company requires independent Company-level oversight, accountability of the Company's businesses, constant communication, judgment, and knowledge of specialized products and markets. The Company's senior management takes an active role in the identification, assessment and management of various risks at both the Company and business level. In recognition of the increasingly varied and complex nature of the global financial services business, the Company's risk management philosophy, with its attendant policies, procedures and methodologies, is evolutionary in nature and subject to ongoing review and modification.

The nature of the Company's risks, coupled with this risk management philosophy, informs the Company's risk governance structure. The Company's risk governance structure includes the Firm Risk Committee, the Chief Risk Officer, the Internal Audit Department, independent control groups and various other risk control managers, committees and groups located within and across the business.

The Firm Risk Committee, composed of the Company's most senior officers, oversees the Company's risk management structure. The Firm Risk Committee's responsibilities include oversight of the Company's risk management principles, procedures and limits, and the monitoring of material market, credit, liquidity and funding, legal, operational and franchise risks and the steps management has taken to monitor and manage such risks. The Firm Risk Committee is overseen by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Audit Committee").

The Chief Risk Officer, a member of the Firm Risk Committee, who reports to the Chief Executive Officer, oversees compliance with Company risk limits; approves certain excessions of Company risk limits; reviews material market, credit and operational risks; reviews results of risk management processes with the Audit Committee.

The Internal Audit Department provides independent risk and control assessment and reports to the Audit Committee and administratively to the Chief Legal Officer. The Internal Audit Department examines the Company's operational and control environment and conducts audits designed to cover all major risk categories.

The Market Risk, Credit Risk, Operational Risk, Financial Control, Treasury and Legal and Compliance Departments (collectively, the "Company Control Groups"), which are all independent of the Company's business units, assist senior management and the Firm Risk Committee in monitoring and controlling the Company's risk through a number of control processes. The Company is committed to employing qualified personnel with appropriate expertise in each of its various administrative and business areas to implement effectively the Company's risk management and monitoring systems and processes.

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into transactions whereby various securities are borrowed from/loaned to counterparties in exchange for collateral. Credit risk occurs when the fair value of the underlying securities borrowed falls below the collateral pledged by the Company or when the fair value of the securities loaned rises above the collateral received by the Company.

The Company seeks to limit credit risk (as well as concentrations of credit risk) created in its business through the use of various control policies and procedures. The Company measures the fair value of the securities borrowed and loaned against the respective collateral amounts on a daily basis and requests additional collateral when deemed necessary.

Note 7 - Income Taxes

The Company is included in the consolidated federal income tax return filed by the Parent. Federal income taxes have been provided on a separate entity basis. The Company is included in the combined state and local income tax returns with the Parent and certain other subsidiaries of the Parent. State and local income taxes have been provided on separate entity income at the effective tax rate of the Company's combined filing group.

In accordance with the terms of the Tax Allocation Agreement with the Parent, all current and deferred taxes are offset with all other intercompany balances with the Parent.

Income Tax Examinations

The Company is under continuous examination by the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") and other state tax authorities in certain states in which the Company has significant business operations, such as New York. The IRS authorities are scheduled to conclude the fieldwork portion of their examination during 2009. The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of additional assessments in each of the taxing jurisdictions resulting from these and subsequent years' examinations. The Company believes that the resolution of tax matters will not have a material effect on the statement of financial condition of the Company.

The following are the major tax jurisdictions in which the Company operates and the earliest tax year subject to examination:

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>
United States	1999
New York State and City	2002

Note 8 - Regulatory Requirements

The Company is a registered broker-dealer and, accordingly, is subject to the net capital rules of the SEC and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. Under these rules, the Company has elected to compute its net capital requirement in accordance with the “Alternative Net Capital Requirement,” which specifies that net capital shall not be less than 2% of aggregate debit items arising from customer transactions or \$250, whichever is greater. At June 30, 2009, the Company’s net capital, as defined under such rules, was \$92,192, which exceeded the minimum requirement by \$91,942.

Advances to affiliates, dividend payments and other equity withdrawals are subject to certain notification and other provisions of the net capital rules of the SEC.

The Company is exempt from the provisions of Rule 15c3-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in that the Company’s activities are limited to those set forth in the conditions for exemption appearing in paragraph (k)(2)(ii) of the Rule.
